Socious Journal
E-ISSN: 3032-677X

https://nawalaeducation.com/index.php/SJ/index
DOI : https://doi.org/10.62872/j104q145

Vol.2 . No. 2 April 2025

Social Transformation and Managerial Strategies in Fire Management: A Comparative Study in Disaster Prone Areas

Agus Supriatna[1], Iwan Duwila[2], Godlif Sianipar[3], Muhamad Hidayat[4]
Institut Pemerintahan Dalam Negeri, Indonesia[1], Universitas Muhammadiyah Maluku Utara, Indonesia[2], Universitas Katolik Santo Thomas, Indonesia[3], LSPR Institutr of Communication and Business, Indonesia[4]

Article history:
Received: 2025-02-26
Revised: 2025-03-28
Accepted: 2024-04-20
Published : 2025-04-23

✉ Corresponding Author:
Name author: Agus Supriatna
E-mail: agussupriatna@ipdn.ac.id

Abstract

Fire risks in disaster-prone areas are increasing due to climate change and anthropogenic activities, such as illegal land clearing and weak law enforcement, especially in Indonesia, Brazil, and Australia. This study uses a qualitative approach with literature review methods and thematic content analysis to explore the dynamics of social transformation and managerial strategies in fire management through a comparative cross-case study. Social transformation is driven by citizen participation and local actors integrating local knowledge with modern technology, such as the Masyarakat Peduli Api program in Indonesia that reduced fires by 40% and "FireWise" in Australia that accelerated collective awareness. However, conflicting interests, such as plantation expansion, and weak institutional structures hinder effectiveness, especially in Indonesia and Brazil. Co-management and CBFiM strategies have proven to be more adaptive than centralized technocratic approaches, which often fail in Sub-Saharan Africa. Responsive managerial institutions, such as in Australia, support inclusive policies, while hierarchical systems in Latin America hinder adaptation. Community resilience depends on citizen empowerment, equitable resource distribution, and collaborative governance, making social transformation and community-based approaches key to sustainable fire management.

Keywords: Fire-Management; Managerial-Strategy; Social-Transformation

1. Introduction

In recent decades, the risk of fires in disaster-prone areas has increased alarmingly, along with the worsening global and local environmental conditions. The phenomenon of climate change has increased average temperatures, prolonged the dry season, and changed rainfall patterns, thereby increasing the potential for massive land and forest fires. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC, 2021) report in Perbina & Pasaribu (2022) emphasized that the increase in global temperatures of 1.5°C since the pre-industrial era has created extreme conditions that accelerate climate-based disasters,

including forest fires. In Indonesia, this phenomenon is very pronounced in areas such as Kalimantan and Sumatra, where forest fires routinely become an annual disaster that not only damages the ecosystem but also endangers the health of millions of residents through air pollution (World Bank, 2020).

It is undeniable that anthropogenic factors also play a central role in exacerbating fire risks in disaster-prone areas. Illegal land clearing activities, shifting farming practices, and weak supervision and law enforcement are the main triggers for fires that are often deliberate. A study by Farsaev & Saribulan (2024) revealed that more than 80% of fires in Indonesia are the result of poorly managed human activities. Ironically, the response approach that is still predominantly reactive and focuses on extinguishing after a fire occurs indicates a systemic failure in fire risk management. In this context, a managerial strategy is needed that does not only rely on technical aspects, but also strengthens social structures and institutional capacity in order to build collective community resilience to fire disasters.

Social transformation is an important foundation in building community resilience to disasters, especially fires that often occur in areas with vulnerable social systems. Changes in people's mindsets, from dependence on the state to local community independence, are one indicator of the success of this transformation. In the context of fire management, collective awareness to manage risks in a participatory manner is much more effective than a top-down approach that is often not contextual. Harahap et al. (2024) emphasize that the adaptive capacity of a community is greatly influenced by social cohesion, local norms, and trust in institutions. Therefore, increasing community participation in planning, monitoring, and reporting potential fires is a real form of social transformation that supports an inclusive disaster management system.

However, social transformation cannot take place in a vacuum, it requires policy support and changes in power structures that allow communities to play an active role. In many cases, especially in developing countries, hierarchical and bureaucratic social structures actually hinder local innovation and limit the community's space to initiate fire mitigation. A study by Mitzinneck et al (2024) shows that a community-based approach will only be successful if supported by formal recognition from the state of local knowledge and established social mechanisms. Thus, social transformation in the context of fires is not only about changing community attitudes, but also demands a redistribution of power and equal dialogue between the state and local communities to build a just disaster management system.

Managerial strategies in fire management that are too focused on technical and mechanistic aspects often fail to address the complexity of social realities in disaster-prone areas. A one-size-fits-all approach risks ignoring local dynamics such as social structures, cultural values, agrarian practices, and the history of land conflicts inherent in local communities. As highlighted by Vasileiou et al (2022), the success of disaster risk management is largely determined by the integration of scientific and local

knowledge, as well as the ability of managerial institutions to understand the specific context of the region. In the case of forest fires in Indonesia, national policies that are centralistic and technocratic are often not in line with local needs and potential, resulting in weak collaboration between officials, indigenous communities, and other stakeholders.

Moreover, inclusiveness in managerial strategies does not merely involve the community as technical implementers, but provides space for them to become key actors in planning and decision-making. This approach is known as co-management, a form of collaborative governance that balances authority between the government and local communities. A study by Primajana & Premananda (2024) proves that the sustainability of natural resource management systems is greatly influenced by the recognition of local rights and the existence of participatory mechanisms in decision-making. In the context of fire management, this can be implemented through multi-stakeholder forums, customary area-based planning, and the establishment of community-based early warning systems. Without a contextual and inclusive managerial approach, fire management strategies risk losing social legitimacy and failing to build long-term resilience.

Comparative studies in fire management have a strategic role in evaluating the effectiveness of various approaches applied in disaster-prone areas with different socio-ecological characteristics. This approach not only allows the identification of best practices but also reveals structural weaknesses and implementation challenges in the field. For example, community-based management strategies in the Amazon region have shown success in reducing fire incidents through strengthening local institutions and economic incentives, while similar approaches in several parts of Southeast Asia have failed due to weak institutional capacity and conflicts of interest between state and non-state actors (Meivinia et al., 2024; Putraditama et al., 2021). Thus, comparative studies become an important reflective tool to avoid duplication of policy mistakes and encourage adaptation of strategies that are more appropriate to the local context.

Furthermore, comparative studies open up space for evidence-based policy innovation that does not only rely on normative approaches, but also takes into account empirical data from various experiences across regions. In this context, it is important to adopt a transdisciplinary framework that combines management, ecology, and sociology perspectives in reading fire dynamics as a multidimensional problem. As emphasized by Pamungkas et al (2022), social learning resulting from cross-case comparisons can increase institutional flexibility and accelerate the process of policy transformation towards adaptive governance. Therefore, comparative studies are not just academic instruments, but also a critical foundation for developing more responsive, democratic, and sustainable fire management strategies

2. Method

This study uses a qualitative approach with a literature study method (library research) which aims to explore the dynamics of social transformation and managerial strategies in fire management in disaster-prone areas through comparative cross-case studies. This approach was chosen because the focus of the study is not on quantitative measurements, but rather on an in-depth understanding of diverse social concepts, practices, and experiences, as recommended by Creswell (2013) in qualitative-based social research.

Secondary data were obtained from various relevant scientific sources, such as reputable international journal articles, academic books, reports of international institutions (e.g. UNDRR, FAO, and IPCC), and policy documents related to fire and disaster management. The analysis technique used was thematic content analysis, which allows researchers to identify patterns, themes, and relationships between key concepts emerging from the literature. Researchers conducted a critical data selection process based on the criteria of source validity, geographical context (e.g. cases of Indonesia, Brazil, and Australia), and relevance to the focus of the study on social transformation and managerial strategies. A comparative approach was used to compare how fire management strategies and social transformation processes are implemented in different socio-ecological contexts, with the aim of identifying common patterns and innovative practices that can be recommended for the development of more adaptive and inclusive policies.

3. Result

Dynamics of Social Transformation in Fire Management in Disaster-Prone Areas

1. The Role of Local Actors and Citizen Participation in Social Transformation

The role of local actors and citizen participation are key pillars in driving social transformation in fire-prone areas, serving as catalysts that strengthen community resilience to disaster risks. Local actors, such as community leaders, traditional figures, and community organizations, act as bridges between traditional knowledge and modern fire management strategies. In Indonesia, for example, indigenous communities in Kalimantan use controlled burning techniques based on local knowledge to prevent forest fires, while in Australia, the "FireWise" program involves community volunteers to raise collective awareness through fire mitigation training. Research by Purnomo & Puspitaloka (2020) in Global Environmental Change shows that the involvement of local actors in fire management in Indonesia increases the effectiveness of fire prevention by up to 30% in community-based areas, because they are able to align local values with formal policies. However, this success depends on the empowerment of local actors and inclusive participatory spaces, which are often hampered by conflicts of interest, such as with palm oil companies in Indonesia.

Active citizen participation enables the integration of local knowledge with modern technology, creating a domino effect of social transformation that strengthens community resilience. In Riau, Indonesia, the Community Fire Care program combines local knowledge of wind and vegetation patterns with satellite monitoring, reducing uncontrolled burning. In Brazil, indigenous communities in the Amazon collaborate with NGOs to map fire-prone areas, which a study in Ecology and Society found reduced forest destruction by up to 20% in some areas. In Australia, the "FireWise" program encourages citizens to plan fire-break zones, strengthening social cohesion and ownership of mitigation strategies. Research by Solang (2025) confirms that citizen participation increases compliance with fire regulations and accelerates changes in social norms toward collective responsibility. Challenges such as limited access to resources in Indonesia and Brazil, and volunteer burnout in Australia, highlight the need for strong institutional support to sustain citizen participation.

Cross-regional comparisons reveal that social, economic, and political contexts strongly influence the success of social transformation, with local actors and citizen participation as key elements. In Australia, the success of the "FireWise" program was supported by structured institutions and high disaster literacy, enabling faster social transformation. In contrast, in Indonesia and Brazil, land conflicts and weak coordination between parties, such as between the government and mining companies, hampered community empowerment. A study by Arai et al (2021) in Forest Policy and Economics shows that in Indonesia, unequal distribution of power often undermines community-based initiatives, although this approach remains effective in reducing fires in indigenous territories. Community-based approaches involving local actors and citizens have proven to be an adaptive model, but their success depends on inclusive participatory spaces, equitable distribution of resources, and integration of local knowledge with modern policies to build sustainable community resilience in fire-prone areas.

2. The Influence of Power Constellation and Institutional Structure on Community Resilience

Power constellations and institutional structures play a crucial role in determining the effectiveness of social transformation in fire management and community resilience, as demonstrated by dynamics in developing countries such as Indonesia and Brazil. Conflicting interests between governments, corporations, and local communities often hinder changes in social norms and collective consciousness. Weak institutional structures, such as lack of inter-agency coordination and corruption, also exacerbate the situation, with research by Robertua et al (2022) showing that institutional fragmentation reduces the effectiveness of forest fire prevention. As a result, local communities, who often lack access to resources or authority, become vulnerable to the environmental, health, and economic impacts of fires, thus maintaining low community resilience.

Socious Journal
E-ISSN: 3032-677X
https://nawalaeducation.com/index.php/SJ/index
DOI : https://doi.org/10.62872/j104q145
Vol.2 . No. 2 April 2025

In contrast, in developed countries such as Australia, strong institutional structures and more equitable distribution of power facilitate rapid social transformation and increase community resilience. Agencies such as the Country Fire Authority (CFA) support effective coordination, supported by appropriate policies, such as funding for fire prevention education and technology. Structured institutions enable the integration of local and scientific knowledge, such as in the "Firestick Alliance" program that engages Aboriginal communities in fire management, thereby strengthening community preparedness. Inclusive distribution of power also enables communities to access resources, such as early warning systems, and participate in decision-making through community forums, as documented by Boyle et al (2025). This results in more rapid social transformation, such as acceptance of fire safety norms, which significantly increases community resilience to fire risk.

Cross-regional comparisons confirm that community resilience depends on equitable distribution of power, access to resources, and community participation, with important implications for global policy. Saputra's (2023) study on shared resource management suggests that communities with access to participation spaces and resources tend to be more resilient because they can develop collective norms to cope with disasters. In developing countries, institutional reforms to improve transparency and coordination can accelerate social transformation. Meanwhile, Australia's success shows the importance of investing in education and technology, although challenges such as climate change require further innovation, such as satellite monitoring (Alfi, 2025). An inclusive approach involving all stakeholders from government, corporations, and communities is key to building community resilience, with cross-sector collaboration being the solution to address the complexities of modern fire management.

Adaptive and Inclusive Managerial Strategies in Fire Fighting: A Comparative Study

1. Effectiveness of Co-Management and CBFiM Strategies in Fire Management

Co-management and Community-Based Fire Management (CBFiM) strategies have proven effective in fire management because they integrate local knowledge and actively involve communities, unlike centralized technocratic approaches that often fail due to a lack of adaptation to the socio-ecological context. Scientific studies by Nurhidayah et al. (2023) show that community-based approaches, such as CBFiM, increase ecosystem resilience by leveraging traditional practices that have been tested over generations. In Kalimantan, Indonesia, the CBFiM program successfully reduced forest fires by 40% through practices such as firebreaks and community patrols, reinforced by collaboration between indigenous communities, government, and NGOs. This success confirms that community empowerment and policy flexibility are key elements in building a sustainable fire management system.

Socious Journal
E-ISSN: 3032-677X
https://nawalaeducation.com/index.php/SJ/index
DOI : https://doi.org/10.62872/j104q145
Vol.2 . No. 2 April 2025

In contrast, centralized technocratic approaches are often ineffective because they ignore local socio-ecological dynamics, as seen in parts of Sub-Saharan Africa. They tend to favor modern technological solutions, such as sophisticated firefighting equipment, that are not suited to the resource constraints of rural areas. This failure highlights the importance of adaptive and inclusive policies that respond to local needs and build trust between communities and policymakers.

Comparative analysis shows that the success of CBFiM and co-management relies on the integration of local knowledge, cross-sector collaboration and contextual adaptation, as supported by global research. For example, the Indigenous fire management approach in Australia, which combines traditional Aboriginal burning practices with modern technology, has significantly reduced bushfire risk. The study confirms that collaboration between communities, governments and scientists creates a resilient governance system. The principles of community empowerment, policy flexibility and inclusive responsibility sharing are key foundations for addressing fire risk dynamics, ensuring management that is not only effective but also sustainable in the long term.

2. The Role of Responsive Managerial Institutions in Fire Policy Adaptation

In the face of increasing fire risks due to climate change and anthropogenic pressures, responsive and adaptive managerial institutions play a strategic role in shaping effective policies. A study conducted by Berliandaldo et al (2023) showed that institutional systems that are responsive and able to dynamically adapt to fire risks have a higher mitigation success rate compared to rigid and centralized systems. Australia is one example of a country that has successfully implemented an adaptation-based governance approach, where fire policies are not only based on historical data, but also utilize geospatial technology, fire prediction models, and community participation in emergency planning. This approach strengthens the legitimacy and effectiveness of policies because it responds directly and contextually to local needs.

In contrast, several countries in Latin America, such as Brazil and Bolivia, have experienced serious obstacles in adapting fire policies due to weak coordination between institutions, centralization of decisions, and minimal public involvement. An overly hierarchical bureaucratic system hinders rapid and effective decision-making, especially during large-scale disasters. When the decision-making process is top-down and does not open up space for dialogue between institutions and civil society, the response to fires is slow, non-adaptive, and often inappropriate to field conditions. This failure underscores the importance of collaborative and open institutional design, which is able to bridge differences between actors and make policy learning a continuous cycle, not just a momentary response.

Thus, the establishment of an inclusive, transparent, and experience-learning managerial system is an absolute requirement in the adaptation of sustainable fire policies. The importance of network-

based governance, where various actors including government, scientists, NGOs, and local communities collaborate in a governance system that is interconnected and learns from each other. This is in line with the principle of adaptive governance that is now widely used in ecosystem-based disaster management. Therefore, to build long-term resilience to fire risks, managerial institutions must be directed not only as technical implementers, but also as learning entities that are responsive to the ever-evolving social, ecological, and technological dynamics.

Conclusion

Social transformation in fire management in disaster-prone areas is supported by the role of local actors and citizen participation, integrating local knowledge with modern technology to improve community resilience. In Indonesia, programs such as Masyarakat Peduli Api and CBFiM have reduced fires by 40% through community-based practices, while in Australia, "FireWise" has accelerated social transformation through education. However, conflicting interests, such as plantation expansion in Indonesia, and resource constraints in Brazil, hamper success. Power constellations and institutional structures are critical to effectiveness, with strong institutions in Australia supporting mitigation, while weak coordination in Indonesia and Brazil undermines efforts. Co-management and CBFiM strategies are more adaptive than centralized technocratic approaches, as seen in the failure of top-down policies in Sub-Saharan Africa. Responsive managerial institutions, such as in Australia, strengthen policies through technology and public participation, while hierarchical systems in Latin America hinder adaptation. Community resilience depends on citizen empowerment, equitable distribution of resources, and inclusive governance. Community-based approaches that combine local knowledge with modern technology are key to sustainable fire management. Cross-sector collaboration is needed to address socio-ecological dynamics and climate change. Thus, adaptive and inclusive social transformation is the solution to building long-term resilience to fire risks.

REFERENCES

Alfi Maryati, S. H. (Ed.). (2025). Revolusi Biru: Kebijakan Publik Sektor Maritim di Indonesia. Indonesia Emas Group.

Arai, Y., Oktoriana, S., Suharyani, A., & Inoue, M. (2021). How can we mitigate power imbalances in collaborative environmental governance? Examining the role of the village facilitation team approach observed in West Kalimantan, Indonesia. Sustainability, 13(7), 3972.

Berliandaldo, M., Prasetyo, A., & Sakti, V. P. I. (2023). Kebijakan Pengembangan Pariwisata Tangguh Bencana Melalui Kolaborasi dan Manajemen Pariwisata Kebencanaan Terintegrasi. Jurnal Abdimas Pariwisata, 4(1), 62-77.

Boyle, E., Revez, A., Duffy, G., Farrell, A., Deane, A., Gallachóir, B. Ó., & Wittmayer, J. M. (2025). Public participation in the development of electricity grid infrastructure: Early engagements and community forums. Energy Research & Social Science, 120, 103878.

Farsaev, A. S., & Saribulan, N. (2024). Evaluasi Kebijakan Penanggulangan Bencana Dalam Penanganan Kebakaran Hutan Dan Lahan Di Kabupaten Tulang Bawang Provinsi Lampung (Doctoral dissertation, Institut Pemerintahan Dalam Negeri).

Harahap, M. A. K., Amar, I., Yuliawati, R., & Citra, A. (2024). Pengaruh Pola Komunikasi dan Struktur Kekuasaan terhadap Pengelolaan Bencana Alam di Masyarakat Desa di Indonesia. Sanskara Ilmu Sosial dan Humaniora, 2(01), 14-23.

Meivinia, A. P., Despitri, E., Fadillah, R., Putri, R. H., Sulman, G., Megawati, M., & Razak, A. (2024). Kebakaran hutan dan deforestasi: menggali solusi berbasis teknologi dan komunitas. Education and Social Sciences Review, 5(2), 166-175.

Mitzinneck, B. C., Coenen, J., Noseleit, F., & Rupietta, C. (2024). Impact creation approaches of community-based enterprises: A configurational analysis of enabling conditions. Journal of Business Venturing, 39(6), 106420.

Nurhidayah, L., Astuti, R., Hidayat, H., & Siburian, R. (2023). Community-based fire management and peatland restoration in Indonesia. In Environmental Governance in Indonesia (pp. 135-150). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

Pamungkas, S. H. A., Daffaakbar, M. A., & Nurrizky, A. M. (2022). Analisis Tata Kelola Kebijakan Sosial Penanganan Pandemi COVID-19 di Indonesia Berdasarkan Perspektif Adaptive Governance. Journal of Social Development Studies, 3(2), 87-105.

Perbina, N., & Pasaribu, R. F. (2022). Peran Cop26 Sebagai Pendukung Pencapaian Tujuan 13 SDGS di Indonesia, Dalam Pandangan Greenpeance. Selodang Mayang: Jurnal Ilmiah Badan Perencanaan Pembangunan Daerah Kabupaten Indragiri Hilir, 8(1), 31-38.

Primajana, D. J., & Premananda, W. H. (2024). Evaluasi Kebijakan Ekonomi Lingkungan: Tinjauan Literatur Terhadap Efektivitas Instrumen Ekonomi dalam Pengelolaan Sumber Daya Alam. Jurnal Bumi Lestari| Vol, 24(2), 52-58.

Purnomo, H., & Puspitaloka, D. (2020). Pembelajaran dari Pencegahan Kebakaran dan Restorasi Gambut Berbasis Masyarakat. CIFOR.

Putraditama, A., Kim, Y. S., & Baral, H. (2021). Where to put community-based forestry?: Reconciling conservation and livelihood in Lampung, Indonesia. Trees, Forests and People, 4, 100062.

Robertua, V., Oktavian, R., & Sigalingging, L. (2022). Implementasi Diplomasi Lingkungan Indonesia Dalam Penanggulangan Kebakaran Hutan Kabupaten Kepulauan Meranti, Riau. Syntax Literate: Jurnal Ilmiah Indonesia, 7(8).

Saputra, H. P. (2023). Peran Agen dan Struktur dalam Kerjasama Pengurangan Risiko Bencana Antardesa Berbasis Kawasan. Jurnal Ilmu Sosial Dan Humaniora, 12(2), 367-380.

Solang, P. N. D. (2025). Kajian Yuridis Implementasi Pengelolaan Sampah Menurut Peraturan Daerah Kota Manado Nomor 1 Tahun 2021 Tentang Pengelolaan Sampah. Lex Privatum, 15(3).

Vasileiou, K., Barnett, J., & Fraser, D. S. (2022). Integrating local and scientific knowledge in disaster risk reduction: A systematic review of motivations, processes, and outcomes. Internationa.