PUBLISH IPA 750 HAL 54-61.pdf

by Seffianidwiazmi@gmail.com 1

Submission date: 29-Oct-2024 06:02AM (UTC-0400)
Submission ID: 2478681721
File name: PUBLISH_IPA_750_HAL_54-61.pdf (233.6K)
Word count: 3558
Character count: 21325

Jurnals Scientica Education Journal
E-ISSN : 3046-8523
https://nawalaeducation.com/index.php/SEJ/index
Vol.1 No.5 October 2024
DOI: https://doi.org/10.62872/0ss24v15

Integrated Radiology and Radiation Protection: The Physics of Optimizing Safety in the Era of 3D and 4D Imaging

Samsun[1], Maryadi[2]
[1]Universitas Politeknik Kesehatan Kemenkes Jakarta, Indonesia
[2]Universitas Islam As-Syafiiyah, Indonesia
Email: ssamsun2424@gmail.com, maryadimesinuia@gmail.com

Input	: September 26, 2024	Revised	: October 12, 2024
Accepted	: October 20, 2024	Published	: October 27, 2024

ABSTRACT

In the era of ever-evolving medical imaging technology, integrated radiology plays a vital role in improving diagnosis and therapy. The application of 3D and 4D technology in radiology has provided significant advances in terms of diagnostic accuracy and therapy planning. With the ability to produce clearer and more detailed images, doctors can make better decisions in patient care. However, the increasing use of radiation in medical imaging also raises serious concerns about the safety of both patients and medical personnel. This study aims to explore the importance of physics in optimizing radiation protection, as well as the challenges faced in integrating advanced technologies. We use a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) approach to identify best practices and recommendations that can be implemented to improve safety in the use of radiation. Through an analysis of existing literature, this study aims to provide insights into effective strategies in protecting patients from radiation risks without compromising imaging quality. Thus, this study will not only contribute to academic knowledge but will also benefit future clinical practice, ensuring the safety of patients and medical personnel in the era of high technology.

Keywords: *Radiology, Radiation Protection, Imaging*

INTRODUCTION

Radiology as a branch of medical science that uses radiation for diagnosis and therapy has experienced rapid development (Dianasari, T., & Koesyanto, H. 2017). With advances in technology, especially in 3D and 4D imaging, the ability to obtain more accurate and detailed diagnostic information is increasing. 3D and 4D imaging allows visualization of anatomical structures in a more realistic and interactive way, thus assisting doctors in making better decisions. However, the use of this technology also increases radiation exposure for patients and medical personnel, which is a major concern in modern radiology practice (Musa, SM, et al., 2024).

Integrated radiology in the era of 3D and 4D imaging emphasizes the importance of safety and efficiency in the use of advanced technologies such as X-rays for diagnosis. This approach requires the application of optimal radiation protection through effective safety management, especially with regard to the effects of radiation on workers and

Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License:
https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/

patients. Physics in radiology plays a role in setting radiation dose limits with the use of protective devices, such as aprons and surveymeters, which help keep radiation exposure below safe thresholds. This also ensures that the quality of radiological images is high enough for diagnosis, while minimizing health risks.(Zavihatika, S., et al., 2020). Integrated radiology and radiation protection play an important role in improving safety in the era of 3D and 4D imaging. The use of this technology allows for more accurate diagnosis and safer treatment, but also increases the risk of radiation exposure, especially in interventional radiology. Therefore, a strict radiation protection protocol is needed to protect medical personnel and patients. Radiation protection in integrated radiology includes several elements, including the use of personal protective equipment (PPE), radiation dose monitoring, and control and regulation of radiology facilities. The main principles in radiation protection are justification, optimization, and dose limitation, which aim to ensure that the benefits outweigh the risks, minimize the dose received, and ensure that the dose received does not exceed the recommended limit.

Radiation exposure can have both short-term and long-term impacts on health. Therefore, it is important to apply effective radiation protection principles to minimize risks to patients and medical staff (Hasibuan, H., et al., 2020). Radiation protection does not only include the use of protective equipment, but also includes broader design and management strategies. In this context, physics plays an important role in designing safe and effective imaging systems, as well as in developing protocols that minimize radiation exposure (Huda, MK, et al., 2024). Radiation protection does not only involve the use of physical protective equipment, such as lead shields, but also includes broader design and management strategies. For example, setting up an imaging room to minimize radiation exposure, using safer imaging techniques, and selecting the right parameters in imaging procedures. In this context, physics plays a crucial role. Physics not only helps in designing safe and effective imaging systems but also in developing protocols that can reduce radiation exposure without sacrificing image quality. A thorough knowledge of the physics of radiation interactions with biological tissues enables professionals to design and implement safer techniques, thereby reducing health risks while still providing accurate diagnostic results (Sarie, IF et al., 2023).

One of the challenges in implementing integrated radiology is how to combine new technologies with existing radiation protection practices. Innovations in imaging often focus on improving image quality and processing speed, but safety aspects are sometimes neglected. Therefore, a balanced approach is needed between technological advances and radiation protection. This requires multidisciplinary collaboration between medical physicists, radiologists, and radiation safety experts (Sarjana, MG, et al).

The main challenge in implementing integrated radiology is how to combine new imaging technologies with existing radiation protection protocols. Innovations in imaging often focus more on improving image quality, resolution, and processing speed, while radiation safety aspects tend to be neglected. This poses a higher risk of potential radiation exposure, both for patients and medical personnel (Pohan, MY 2019). To overcome this challenge, a balanced approach is needed between technological advances and radiation protection, where the principles of justification, optimization, and dose limitation are still strictly applied (DW, Wulandari, PI, & Kusman, K., 2023).

This balanced approach can only be realized through multidisciplinary collaboration involving medical physicists, radiologists, and radiation safety experts. Medical physicists play a role in adjusting the use of new technologies to comply with radiation safety standards, while radiologists need to be trained to apply new technologies while still paying attention to the principles of protection. Radiation safety experts are tasked with identifying and reducing exposure risks, ensuring that the equipment used meets the established protection requirements. Through this interdisciplinary collaboration, integrated radiology can develop optimally while maintaining the safety of users and patients, so that new technologies can be applied safely and efficiently (Normawati, S., 2022).

As the use of imaging technologies increases, it is important for healthcare professionals to understand the mechanisms of radiation and its impact on health. Education and training in radiation protection should be an integral part of medical education and clinical training programs. This will not only raise awareness of radiation risks but also facilitate the implementation of best practices in radiation protection in hospitals and other healthcare facilities. Education and training in radiation protection should be an integral part of medical education and clinical training programs. By providing appropriate knowledge about radiation risks and how to mitigate them, healthcare professionals will be better prepared to make informed and responsible decisions during clinical practice. This training also helps raise awareness about the importance of using safe and efficient imaging techniques, as well as the importance of adhering to radiation protection guidelines and protocols (Marlinae, L., et al., 2019). Implementation of this education will not only improve understanding, but also facilitate the implementation of best practices in radiation protection in hospitals and other healthcare facilities (Indonesia, KK 2019). Thus, educated healthcare workers will be better able to protect patients from overexposure, as well as create a safer environment in the use of imaging technology, thus supporting the health and safety of all involved.

In many studies, it has been found that the implementation of effective radiation protection strategies can reduce radiation exposure by up to 50% without sacrificing imaging quality. This shows that with the right approach, imaging efficiency can be achieved without compromising patient safety. Various methods, such as radiation dose reduction, the use of alternative imaging techniques, and the development of more sophisticated hardware and software, are the main focus in this effort.

In a study conducted by Sari entitled The Role of Medical Physicists in Radiation Safety Management in Diagnostic Radiology in Hospitals, the importance of the role of medical physicists in maintaining radiation safety in hospitals, as well as the collaboration needed between medical physicists, radiologists, and radiation safety teams to minimize exposure for patients (Ari, E. D., & Putra, S. A., 2019).

Recent developments in imaging technology, such as the use of artificial intelligence (AI) algorithms to improve image analysis, also open up new opportunities to improve safety. AI can help detect and minimize errors in the imaging process, as well as optimize the radiation dose given to patients. Therefore, collaboration between medical physics and information technology is essential to create a safer and more effective imaging system (Masrichah, S., 2023).

Although much progress has been made, challenges in implementing radiation protection protocols remain. Various factors, including lack of awareness, limited resources, and differences in policies between healthcare institutions, can hinder the implementation of best practices. Therefore, it is important to conduct continuous evaluation of existing protocols and update safety standards in accordance with the latest technological developments and research (Nursalam, D., 2014). This study aims to explore more deeply the relationship between integrated radiology and radiation protection, as well as the role of physics in creating a safe imaging system. By using the Systematic Literature Review (SLR) approach, this study is expected to provide useful insights for medical personnel and policy makers in an effort to improve safety in medical imaging.

METHOD

This study used a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) approach to collect and analyze data related to integrated radiology and radiation protection. The SLR process began with a search for relevant literature through academic databases, such as PubMed, IEEE Xplore, and ScienceDirect, with keywords related to the research topic. The inclusion criteria set included articles published in the last five years and relevant to aspects of radiation protection in 3D and 4D imaging (Fathimatuzzahra, N., 2022).

After identifying articles that met the criteria, the researchers conducted an in-depth analysis of the content and methodology used in each study. The data were categorized based on key themes, including imaging technology development, radiation protection strategies, and health impacts. This process allowed the researchers to identify patterns, trends, and best practices that could be applied in radiology. The analysis was conducted qualitatively to understand the context and implications of each study obtained. In addition, a critical evaluation was conducted to assess the quality and validity of the existing studies. With this approach, the study was able to provide a comprehensive overview of the current state of integrated radiology and the challenges in implementing radiation protection. By combining findings from various studies, this study aims to formulate recommendations that can be applied in radiology practice, as well as contribute to the development of policies that support patient and healthcare worker safety.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the study showed that the application of 3D and 4D imaging technology brings many advantages in terms of diagnostic accuracy and therapy planning. 3D imaging, for example, allows for more detailed and interactive visualization of anatomical structures, allowing doctors to make more precise diagnoses. On the other hand, 4D imaging adds a time dimension, allowing for real-time monitoring of physiological processes, which is very useful in interventional medicine. However, this increase in imaging quality is also accompanied by an increase in the radiation dose received by the patient. Several studies have shown that 3D imaging procedures can increase the radiation dose by up to 30% compared to conventional techniques. Therefore, it is important to implement effective radiation protection strategies to minimize the risk of radiation exposure for patients and medical staff.

The use of radiation shielding, such as aprons and thyroid shields, remains one of the basic protective measures that need to be implemented. However, studies have shown that this physical protection is not enough to significantly reduce radiation exposure, especially in complex procedures. Therefore, a more comprehensive approach is needed, including radiation dose optimization and the development of safer technologies (Farid, H., 2023).

The use of radiation shielding, such as lead aprons and thyroid shields, is indeed one of the basic protection measures in radiology practice (Mareta, S., 2022). This protective equipment is designed to protect vital organs and reduce radiation exposure received by medical personnel and patients. However, studies have shown that physical shielding alone is not enough to significantly reduce radiation exposure, especially in complex procedures such as interventional radiology or 3D/4D imaging, where exposure times are often longer and radiation intensity is higher. In such situations, radiation exposure can still infiltrate through gaps in the shield or propagate through scattered radiation, so that potential health risks remain. Therefore, a more comprehensive approach is needed to improve radiation safety. This approach includes radiation dose optimization, which is minimizing the dose given without sacrificing image quality. This optimization principle involves the use of more sophisticated imaging technology and system automation that can adjust the radiation dose according to clinical needs with high precision.

In addition, the development of safer technologies also needs to be a priority. For example, the implementation of an artificial intelligence (AI)-based imaging system that can reduce exposure time and automatically adjust radiation intensity. This technology not only improves image quality but can also reduce the overall dose received. By combining physical shielding, dose optimization, and technological innovation, a more holistic and effective approach can be achieved, so that radiation protection becomes more optimal. One strategy that has proven effective is the use of dose reduction techniques, such as setting appropriate imaging parameters and using sophisticated image processing algorithms. Studies have shown that by implementing this protocol, radiation doses can be reduced by up to 50% without sacrificing image quality. This shows that with proper planning, imaging efficiency can be achieved while maintaining safety.

Implementation of training and education for medical personnel is also a key factor in increasing awareness of radiation protection. Ongoing training programs can help medical staff understand the basic principles of radiation and effective protection strategies. Studies have shown that facilities that implement routine training programs have higher levels of compliance with safety protocols (Anwar, Y., et al., 2023). Implementation of training and education for medical personnel is a crucial component in increasing awareness and understanding of radiation protection. These training programs are designed to provide basic knowledge about radiation, how to control it, and effective protection strategies. Through ongoing training, medical staff can learn important principles such as dose justification, optimization, and limitation, as well as practical techniques in reducing radiation exposure for themselves and their patients.

Research shows that medical facilities that routinely conduct training programs have higher levels of compliance with radiation safety protocols. This is because trained medical personnel are more likely to be aware of the importance of using personal protective equipment (PPE), maintaining a safe distance from radiation sources, and using technology more wisely to reduce risk. They are also more aware of how to identify and respond to potential high-risk situations, which can improve overall operational safety. Ongoing training programs also allow for better adaptation to new technologies, including more sophisticated imaging tools and artificial intelligence (AI)-based systems. With a better understanding of the risks and how to manage radiation exposure, healthcare workers can implement more optimal protection practices, in line with current technological developments and safety standards.

The use of artificial intelligence (AI) in medical imaging also provides new hope in optimizing radiation safety. AI can be used to analyze images and detect anomalies with high accuracy, thereby reducing the number of procedures required and the associated radiation exposure. Several studies have shown that AI algorithms can help determine the optimal dose required for a particular imaging modality, thereby reducing unnecessary exposure.

The use of artificial intelligence (AI) in medical imaging presents new opportunities in efforts to optimize radiation safety. AI is able to analyze medical images and detect anomalies with high accuracy, allowing the diagnostic process to be more efficient and accurate. With this better detection, AI can help reduce the number of repeat procedures that are usually caused by unclear images or misinterpretation, thereby directly reducing unnecessary radiation exposure for patients (Satria, D., 2023).

In addition, AI also has the ability to determine the optimal dose required for each imaging, based on the individual characteristics of the patient and the type of procedure performed. Several studies have shown that AI algorithms can adjust imaging parameters in real-time to achieve the required image quality with the lowest possible radiation dose. This allows for a more effective implementation of the ALARA (As Low As Reasonably Achievable) principle, where the radiation given can be optimized without reducing the quality of the diagnostic information obtained (Nurirwan Saputra)

Thus, the integration of AI in medical imaging focuses not only on improving the quality and speed of diagnosis, but also on reducing safety risks for patients and medical personnel. The combination of AI analysis, dose optimization, and reduced procedural exposure can result in a safer and more efficient approach in radiology (Lelyana, N. 2024).

However, challenges in implementing these technologies remain. Although many facilities have adopted new technologies, gaps in training and understanding remain. Lack of knowledge about new technologies and their applications in radiation protection can hinder the implementation of best practices. Therefore, collaboration between technology developers and healthcare providers is essential to ensure that healthcare personnel receive adequate training. In addition, differences in radiation safety policies across institutions can also lead to inconsistencies in the implementation of practices. Some hospitals may have better resources and infrastructure to implement radiation protection strategies, while others may face limitations. This highlights the need for clearer national standards and government support in developing effective policies.

In addition, further research is needed to evaluate the long-term effects of radiation exposure, especially in the context of advanced technology. Although many studies have shown that doses received in medical imaging procedures remain within safe limits, it is important to continue to monitor the cumulative effects of radiation exposure in the wider population. With all of these findings in mind, it is important for healthcare institutions to continue to innovate and adapt best practices in radiation protection. Integrating physics into the development of imaging technologies, along with a multidisciplinary approach, will be key to creating safe and effective imaging systems.

CONCLUSION

Integrated radiology and radiation protection play an important role in improving the quality of healthcare in the era of 3D and 4D imaging. By utilizing technological advances and implementing effective radiation protection principles, a balance between diagnostic accuracy and patient safety can be achieved. Therefore, collaboration between medical physicists, healthcare professionals, and policy makers is essential to optimize safety in medical imaging. This study recommends that healthcare institutions conduct continuous evaluation of existing safety protocols and develop comprehensive training programs for healthcare professionals. With the right approach, it is hoped that a safe and effective environment can be created in radiology practice, thereby providing maximum benefits to patients and the wider community.

REFERENCES

Dari, D. W., Wulandari, P. I., & Kusman, K. (2023). Evaluasi Implementasi Proteksi Radiasi Di Ruang Radiologi Intervensi Instalasi Rir Rsup Prof. Dr. Igng Ngoerah. , 2(3), 604-619.

Dianasari, T., & Koesyanto, H. (2017). Penerapan manajemen keselamatan radiasi di instalasi radiologi rumah sakit. *Unnes Journal of Public Health*, 6(3), 174-183. https://doi.org/10.15294/ujph.v6i3.12690

Farid, H. (2023). Analisis Penerapan Manajemen Keselamatan Kerja Di Instalasi Radiologi Di Rumah Sakit Umum Daerah Sukadana.

Hasibuan, H., Purba, B., Marzuk, M., Sianturi, M. E., Armus, A., Gusty, S., ... & Jamaludin, J. (2020). Teknik Keselamatan dan Kesehatan Kerja.

Huda, M. K., Sulasmi, S. S. T., Keb, M. T., Mukhoyyaroh, Q., Nasution, J., Sadat, L. A., ... & Muhsinin, S. (2024). *Biologi Dasar*. Cendikia Mulia Mandiri.

Indonesia, K. K. (2019). *Standar Nasional Pendidikan Profesi Dokter Indonesia*. Jakarta: Konsil Kedokteran Indonesia.

Lelyana, N. (2024). Analisis Dampak Inovasi Teknologi pada Strategi Manajemen Rumah Sakit. *JISHUM: Jurnal Ilmu Sosial dan Humaniora*, 2(4), 425-446. https://doi.org/10.57248/jishum.v2i4.380

Mareta, S. (2022). Analisis Penerapan Keselamatan Radiasi Pada Radiografer Di Instalasi Radiologi Rsu Mayjen Ha Thalib Kerinci Tahun 2022 (Doctoral dissertation, Universitas Andalas).

Marlinae, L., Khairiyati, L., Waskito, A., & Nur Rahmat, A. (2019). *Buku Ajar Dasar-Dasar Kesehatan Lingkungan.*

Masrichah, S. (2023). Ancaman Dan Peluang Artificial Intelligence (AI). *Khatulistiwa: Jurnal Pendidikan dan Sosial Humaniora,* 3(3), 83-101. https://doi.org/10.55606/khatulistiwa.v3i3.1860

Musa, S. M., Afrilia, E. M., & Lestari, M. (2024). *Fisika Kesehatan*. Penerbit NEM.

Pohan, M. Y. (2019). Analisa Sistem Kelistrikan Computerized Tomography Scan di Rumah Sakit Haji Medan. *Kumpulan Karya Ilmiah Mahasiswa Fakultas Sains Dan Tekhnologi*, 1(1), 68-68. https://doi.org/10.52943/jipiki.v4i1.72

Sarjana, M. G., & Larasati, M. E. S. Perbandingan Pelaksanaan Pembelajaran Radiologi Secara Luring Dengan Daring Terhadap Hasil Belajar Mahasiswa Program Sarjana Fakultas Kedokteran Gigi Universitas Brawijaya.

Satria, D. (2023). *Pengantar Teknik Komputer: Konsep dan Prinsip Dasar*. PT. Sonpedia Publishing Indonesia.

Zavihatika, S., Syari, W., & Prastia, T. N. (2020). Analisa Kebutuhan Tenaga Kerja Radiologi Dilihat Dari Beban Kerja Di Instalasi Radiologi Rumah Sakit Islam Bogor Tahun 2020. Promotor, 3(5), 522-529. https://doi.org/10.25311/kesmas.vol1.iss1.326

PUBLISH IPA 750 HAL 54-61.pdf

ORIGINALITY REPORT

5%	3%	4%	2%
SIMILARITY INDEX	INTERNET SOURCES	PUBLICATIONS	STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov Internet Source	1%
2	Ronald H. Rozensky. "The institution of the institutional practice of psychology: Health care reform and psychology's future workforce.", American Psychologist, 2011 Publication	1%
3	Abdullah Shbeer. "Radiation in the intensive care units: A review of staff knowledge, practices, and radiation exposure.", Journal of Radiation Research and Applied Sciences, 2024 Publication	<1%
4	tug.ctan.org Internet Source	<1%
5	Submitted to Mid-America Christian University Student Paper	<1%
6	Submitted to Indiana University Student Paper	<1%

7	Fateh Tavangar, Hassan Rafiey, Farhad Nosrati Nejad, Ahmad Ali Noorbala, Gholamreza Ghaedamini Harouni. "Decomposition of inequality determinants of stressful events in Tehran; Oaxaca–Blinder analysis", International Journal of Human Rights in Healthcare, 2021 Publication	<1 %
8	Submitted to University of Portsmouth Student Paper	<1 %
9	Rousse, C., P. Cillard, A. Isambert, and M. Valero. "LESSONS LEARNED FROM EVENTS NOTIFIED TO THE FRENCH NUCLEAR SAFETY AUTHORITY DURING THE PERIOD 2007-13 IN THE MEDICAL FIELD", Radiation Protection Dosimetry, 2014. Publication	<1 %
10	www.grafiati.com Internet Source	<1 %
11	jurnal.ibik.ac.id Internet Source	<1 %
12	nila wiyan desniandita. "Peran dan Fungsi Masker dalam Produksi Pembuatan Sangkar Burung di Mojosongo", INA-Rxiv, 2019 Publication	<1 %
13	www.thejhpm.com Internet Source	<1 %

Exclude quotes	Off	Exclude matches	Off
Exclude bibliography	On		

PUBLISH IPA 750 HAL 54-61.pdf

PAGE 1

Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.

Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.

Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.

Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.

Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.

Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.

Article Error You may need to use an article before this word. Consider using the article **the**.

Missing "," You may need to place a comma after this word.

Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.

PAGE 2

Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.

PAGE 3

Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.

Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.

Article Error You may need to use an article before this word. Consider using the article **the**.

P/V You have used the passive voice in this sentence. Depending upon what you wish to emphasize in the sentence, you may want to revise it using the active voice.

PAGE 4

Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.

Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.

Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.

Missing "," You may need to place a comma after this word.

Article Error You may need to remove this article.

PAGE 5

P/V You have used the passive voice in this sentence. Depending upon what you wish to emphasize in the sentence, you may want to revise it using the active voice.

Prep. You may be using the wrong preposition.

P/V You have used the passive voice in this sentence. Depending upon what you wish to emphasize in the sentence, you may want to revise it using the active voice.

Article Error You may need to remove this article.

Missing "," You may need to place a comma after this word.

PAGE 6

Prep. You may be using the wrong preposition.

Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.

Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.

Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.

PAGE 7

Article Error You may need to use an article before this word. Consider using the article **the**.

PAGE 8