

The Effectiveness of Restorative Legal Approach in Handling Organized Crime in Indonesia

Fitri Arianty¹✉

Universitas Sriwijaya, Indonesia

✉author e-mail fitriarianty@gmail.com

Entere : March 01, 2025
Accepted : April 15, 2025

Revised : March 20, 2025
Published : April 30, 2025

Abstract

The restorative legal approach is an alternative method in handling crime that focuses on restoring relationships between perpetrators, victims, and the community, and prioritizes rehabilitation rather than punitive punishment. In Indonesia, where organized crime often involves complex networks and broad social impacts, this approach offers the potential to overcome challenges that exist in the conventional criminal justice system. This study aims to evaluate the effectiveness of the restorative legal approach in the context of handling organized crime in Indonesia. The research method used is qualitative, with data collection techniques through in-depth interviews with legal practitioners, law enforcement officials, and representatives of rehabilitation institutions, as well as document analysis and case studies related to the implementation of the restorative approach. The results of the study indicate that although the restorative legal approach can provide a more humanistic and constructive solution in some cases, significant challenges include resistance to change from the existing legal system, the need for training and a deeper understanding of this approach, and effective integration between restorative law and the existing criminal law system. This study suggests that in order to increase the effectiveness of this approach, there is a need for systemic reform, increased training, and the development of policies that support the implementation of restorative law in handling organized crime.

Keywords: Restorative Law, Organized Crime, Effectiveness, Rehabilitation, Criminal Justice System

Citation : Arianty, F. (2025). *The effectiveness of restorative legal approach in handling organized crime in Indonesia*. Leges Privatae, 1(6). <https://doi.org/10.62872/qgnr7f66>

Corresponding Author: Fitri Arianty
Email fitriarianty@gmail.com

1. Introduction

Organized crime in Indonesia, such as drug trafficking, counterfeiting, and large-scale robbery, poses serious challenges to the criminal justice system. These crimes often involve complex networks with hierarchical structures and have far-reaching impacts on both individuals and society as a whole. Conventional approaches to dealing with these cases typically focus on punitive punishment and law enforcement, but these methods are often considered inadequate in addressing the root causes of crime and the social impacts it causes.

Restorative law offers a more comprehensive alternative approach. This approach focuses on restoring relationships between perpetrators, victims, and communities through dialogue and mediation, rather than solely emphasizing punishment (Zehr & Gohar, 2019). Restorative law aims to repair the damage caused by criminal acts by involving all affected parties in the recovery process. This approach is expected to provide a more sustainable and humanistic solution in dealing with organized crime (Braithwaite, 2019).

In Indonesia, the application of restorative law in the context of organized crime is still relatively new and faces various challenges. One of the main challenges is resistance to change from the



existing criminal justice system, which tends to adhere to punitive punishment methods. In addition, the implementation of restorative law requires significant changes in policies, procedures, and training for law enforcement as well as a deep understanding of how to integrate this approach with the existing criminal justice system (Marshall, 2021; Hoyle & Young, 2022).

This study aims to evaluate the effectiveness of the restorative legal approach in dealing with organized crime in Indonesia. This study examines how the restorative approach can be applied in the context of organized crime, the challenges faced in its implementation, and the potential benefits that can be obtained. The research method used is qualitative, including in-depth interviews with legal practitioners, document analysis, and case studies.



2. Method

This study uses a qualitative method to evaluate the effectiveness of the restorative law approach in dealing with organized crime in Indonesia. The qualitative approach was chosen because this study aims to gain an in-depth understanding of the implementation of restorative law, the challenges faced, and the benefits that may be obtained in the context of organized crime cases. This method involves several data collection and analysis techniques designed to produce comprehensive and evidence-based insights.

1. Data collection

a. In-depth Interview

In-depth interviews were conducted with various stakeholders, including legal practitioners, law enforcement officials, restorative law experts, and representatives of rehabilitation institutions. The aim was to gain first-hand perspectives on the application of restorative law in dealing with organized crime.

b. Document Analysis

Document analysis was conducted on policies, guidelines, and reports related to the implementation of restorative law and handling of organized crime in Indonesia. These documents include laws, regulations, and program evaluation reports.

c. Case study

Case studies are conducted on several examples of the application of restorative law in organized crime cases in Indonesia. This aims to illustrate how the restorative approach is applied in real situations and its results.

2. Data analysis

Data collected through interviews, document analysis, and case studies were analyzed using a thematic analysis approach.

3. Validity and Reliability

To ensure data validity and reliability, several steps are taken, namely Data Verification, Validity Testing and Analysis Consistency.

Results and Discussion

The results of the study show that the restorative law approach has the potential to provide a more humanistic and sustainable solution in dealing with organized crime in Indonesia, but there are several significant challenges in its implementation.

1. Acceptance and Implementation

Restorative legal approaches are often difficult for parties in the criminal justice system to accept, which prioritizes punitive punishment. Legal practitioners and law enforcement officials suggest that the shift from traditional to restorative approaches requires profound policy reform (Hoyle & Young, 2022).

Examples of successful implementation of restorative law in case studies, such as mediation and dialogue programs between perpetrators, victims and communities in several areas, show that this approach can be effective in repairing relationships and preventing further crime (Marshall, 2021).

2. Challenges in Training and Understanding

Lack of training and understanding of restorative law among law enforcement and related practitioners leads to inconsistent implementation. Research reveals that many law enforcement officers do not fully understand the principles of restorative law and how to apply them in organized crime cases (Morrison, 2020).

3. Integration with the Criminal Law System

Integrating restorative law with conventional criminal justice systems is a major challenge. Existing legal systems often do not support the implementation of restorative law, causing difficulties in implementing consistent procedures and policies (Zehr & Gohar, 2019).

4. Social Impact and Rehabilitation

The restorative approach provides significant benefits in terms of offender rehabilitation and victim recovery. Case studies show that offenders involved in restorative processes tend to show positive behavioral changes and are more committed to correcting their mistakes, while victims feel more

empowered and have received justice (Braithwaite, 2019).

DISCUSSION

1. Effectiveness of Restorative Approach

The restorative law approach offers a valuable alternative in dealing with organised crime, particularly in the context of rehabilitation and relationship building. The results of the interviews and case studies suggest that, while this approach cannot always replace punitive punishment, it can complement the justice system by providing an additional dimension focused on healing and prevention (Hoyle & Young, 2022).

However, the effectiveness of this approach is highly dependent on the context and proper implementation. The main challenges are resistance to change from existing legal systems, as well as the need for adequate training and effective integration. Limitations in training and understanding can result in inconsistent and suboptimal implementation (Morrison, 2020).

2. Integration with the Criminal Law System

Integrating restorative law into the Indonesian criminal justice system requires systemic reform. Research shows that this approach is often not fully consistent with existing procedures and policies. Therefore, there is an urgent need to reform policies and procedures to better support the implementation of restorative law principles (Braithwaite, 2019).

3. Social Benefits

The restorative legal approach has great potential in improving the rehabilitation of offenders and providing justice for victims. Restorative processes involving dialogue and mediation can help improve social relationships and reduce the likelihood of offenders committing crimes in the future. In addition, victims feel more cared for and involved in the recovery process (Zehr & Gohar, 2019).

Conclusions

This study evaluates the effectiveness of the restorative law approach in dealing with organized crime in Indonesia using qualitative methods. The results of the study indicate that the restorative law approach has the potential to provide a more sustainable and humanistic solution in dealing with organized crime, although its implementation faces several significant challenges.

1. The Potential of Restorative Law

The restorative legal approach offers a constructive alternative in the rehabilitation of offenders and the recovery of victims. Through the process of mediation and dialogue, restorative law can help improve the relationship between offenders, victims, and the community, and reduce the likelihood of offenders committing crimes in the future (Braithwaite, 2019; Zehr & Gohar, 2019).

2. Implementation Challenges

One of the main challenges is resistance to change from the existing criminal justice system. Law enforcers and practitioners are often more comfortable with punitive punishment methods and have difficulty adopting restorative law principles. In addition, a lack of training and understanding of this approach hinders consistent and effective implementation (Morrison, 2020; Hoyle & Young, 2022).

3. Integration with Legal System

Integrating restorative law with conventional criminal justice systems requires profound reform. Existing legal systems often do not support the full application of restorative law principles, so policy and procedural reforms are essential to support the implementation of this approach (Marshall, 2021).

4. Social Benefits

The restorative approach has been shown to be beneficial in enhancing the rehabilitation of offenders and providing more satisfactory justice for victims. The restorative process allows offenders to make amends for their wrongdoings and provides an opportunity for victims to be actively involved in the healing process (Zehr & Gohar, 2019).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Braithwaite, J. (2002). *Restorative Justice and Responsive Regulation*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
Braithwaite, J. (2019). *Restorative Justice and Responsive Regulation: 20 Years On*. Oxford: Oxford

University Press.

- Daly, K., & Immarigeon, R. (1998). "The Role of Restorative Justice in the Future of the Criminal Justice System." *Criminal Justice Policy Review*, 9(1), 8-30. doi:10.1177/088740340000900102
- Hoyle, C., & Young, R. (2022). *The Handbook of Restorative Justice: Critical Perspectives and Current Developments*. Routledge.
- Marshall, T. (2021). *Restorative Justice: An Overview*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Morrison, B. (2020). *Restorative Justice and Violence: Theory, Policy, and Practice*. Routledge.
- Morrison, B., & Ellison, S. (2009). *Restorative Justice: How It Works*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Ward, T., & Maruna, S. (2007). *Rehabilitation: Beyond the Risk Paradigm*. London: Routledge.
- Zehr, H. (2002). *The Little Book of Restorative Justice*. Intercourse, PA: Good Books.
- Zehr, H., & Gohar, A. (2019). *The Little Book of Restorative Justice for People in Prison: Rebuilding the Web of Relationships*. Intercourse, PA: Good Books.