

Literature Study: Potential of 3D Printing Technology in the World of Mechanical Engineering and Manufacturing

Ari Beni Santoso¹, Rahmad surya hadi saputra²

Universitas Sang Bumi Ruwa Jurai, Indonesia¹, Politeknik Kelautan dan Perikanan Karawang²

Email : santosoaribeni@gmail.com

Received: May 09, 2025

Accepted: June 22, 2025

Revised : May 19, 2025

Published: June 28, 2025

ABSTRACT

This study discusses the paradigm shift in mechanical engineering due to the adoption of 3D printing technology as part of the industrial revolution 4.0. This additive manufacturing technology offers advantages in terms of design complexity, production efficiency, and cost flexibility, but also raises technical challenges such as material anisotropy, surface roughness, and process parameter control. Through a descriptive qualitative approach based on literature studies, this study explores the impact of 3D printing on the structure of the manufacturing industry, performance-based design, and the need for reform in engineering education. Data sources are taken from scientific journals, academic books, and technical reports from the last decade (2014–2024), with a thematic analysis of technology applications, implementation challenges, and implications for mechanical engineering curricula. The results show that although 3D printing has fundamentally changed the design and production process, its integration into the industry is still limited by conventional supply chain structures and lack of human resource readiness. This study emphasizes the importance of transforming the engineering education system towards a digital-based interdisciplinary approach, as well as the need for critical and contextual technology adoption. Thus, 3D printing does not only act as a production tool, but also as a catalyst for systemic change in mechanical engineering and manufacturing.

Keywords: : Design; Manufacturing; 3D-Printing

INTRODUCTION

The development of manufacturing technology in the industrial era 4.0 has driven a paradigm shift from subtractive to additive manufacturing methods, with 3D printing at the forefront of innovation. This technology not only offers the ability to print objects with high geometric complexity, but also eliminates various traditional processes that are time-consuming and costly, such as mold making and component assembly. According to Pristiansyah et al (2019), 3D printing enables direct production from digital files, thus supporting the concept of mass customization and distributed manufacturing. This is an important breakthrough in the mechanical engineering industry which has so far relied on linear manufacturing processes and high-volume inventory.

However, behind the convenience offered, this transformation also poses new challenges in the technical and epistemological aspects of the engineering world. The complexity in question is not only in the integration of new technologies, but also concerns the repositioning of the role of engineers in designing, validating, and optimizing digital-based products. Designs that were previously limited by the limitations of conventional manufacturing must now consider new variables such as anisotropy of printed materials, layer-by-layer limitations, and thermal parameters in the



fusion process. A study by Pristiansya & Herianto (2018) shows that the efficiency of 3D printing-based manufacturing processes is greatly influenced by a thorough understanding of material behavior and software design tools, which until now have been a gap in traditional mechanical engineering curricula. Therefore, this development not only expands production potential, but also urges critical adaptation in the engineering approach and manufacturing education.

The industry's need for fast and flexible production has been a major driver of 3D printing technology adoption, especially in the context of low-volume production and on-demand manufacturing. Unlike traditional manufacturing systems that rely on large economies of scale, 3D printing actually shows the most optimal efficiency in the production of components in limited quantities, which is very relevant for sectors such as aerospace, medical, and custom automotive. Research conducted by Avriansah et al (2022) shows that 3D printing can cut production cycle times by up to 70% and reduce prototype costs by 30–50%, mainly due to the absence of the need to create additional molds or jigs. This indicates that the flexibility offered is not only in the manufacturing process, but also in cost structure and market strategy.

However, the widespread application of this technology still faces structural and systemic barriers in the context of conventional manufacturing industries. Many companies still view 3D printing as a complement, not a substitute, so the integration of this technology tends to be limited to the prototype phase. According to research by Hasdiansah & Herianto (2018), the main obstacle lies in the supply chain structure and business model that are not ready to face fundamental changes due to production decentralization. In this scenario, the industry needs to conduct a critical evaluation of their value chain, including internal digital capabilities, engineering HR training, and readiness to invest in generative design software and high-scale industrial printers. Without such comprehensive changes, the transformational potential of 3D printing will be difficult to realize optimally in the world of mechanical engineering and manufacturing.

The relevance of 3D printing technology in mechanical engineering has expanded far beyond being a prototyping tool; it is now a strategic tool in the production of functional, high-precision parts. In sectors such as automotive and aerospace, additive manufacturing processes enable the creation of components with complex shapes that were previously difficult—or even impossible—to produce using subtractive techniques such as CNC machining. For example, the use of topology optimization in the design of aircraft brackets has allowed for up to a 50% weight reduction without sacrificing structural strength, an achievement that can only be realized through 3D printing (Maximillian & Jonatan, 2024). This application emphasizes the paradigm shift in mechanical engineering from mere production efficiency to performance-driven design, an approach that is only possible with digital integration and additive manufacturing.

However, claims of the “vitality” of this technology in mechanical engineering must be balanced with an awareness of the inherent limitations of the material and process. Several technical issues such as surface roughness, interlayer porosity, and mechanical degradation at high temperatures are still serious obstacles in the use of 3D printing for major structural parts. As noted by Ongki (2021), although metal additive manufacturing offers design flexibility, the quality of the print results is highly dependent on process parameters such as laser scan speed, build platform temperature, and the type of powder used. Therefore, to be able to integrate 3D printing widely into mechanical engineering, the approach needed is not simply technology adoption, but rather a reengineering of the design, simulation, and validation processes based on the characteristics of additive manufacturing. Without such an epistemic repositioning, the

transformative potential of 3D printing will be reduced to superficial technical innovation.

The gap between the ideal potential of 3D printing and the reality of its application in mechanical engineering shows the urgent need for a systematic and critical approach to the literature. Many popular narratives tend to emphasize the disruptive capabilities of this technology, without including a thorough analysis of the technical, economic, and regulatory limitations that accompany it. For example, claims of “complexity without cost” in design often ignore the fact that not all complex shapes are automatically feasible in terms of structural strength or post-process costs such as post-machining and heat treatment. In this regard, as explained by Ridwan et al (2025), only an evidence-based approach and controlled experiments can produce an accurate understanding of the material limitations and mechanical performance of additive printing.

Furthermore, the academic world has not been fully responsive to this dynamic. The mechanical engineering curriculum in many institutions still focuses on conventional approaches, with very limited portions for mastering additive design software, particle flow simulation, or thermal modeling of the printing process. This creates a gap between engineering graduates and the needs of the industry which is now moving towards digitalization of manufacturing. As highlighted by Putra & Fitri (2024), the integration of 3D printing into the industrial ecosystem is not just about procuring machines, but requires a transformation of the engineering learning system to be more interdisciplinary, including material engineering, data engineering, and design computation. Without critical literature mapping of best practices and actual challenges, this technology is at risk of being understood biasedly, either as an instant solution or as an “overhyped” technology, when in fact the truth is much more complex and depends on the context of its application.

METHOD

This study uses a descriptive qualitative approach with a literature study method as the main strategy in exploring the potential of 3D printing technology in the fields of mechanical engineering and manufacturing. The selection of this method is based on the main objective of the study, namely to obtain a comprehensive and in-depth understanding of the dynamics of the development of 3D printing technology, its application challenges, and its relevance in the context of engineering education and the manufacturing industry. Data collection was carried out through a systematic search of scientific literature published in the last ten years (2015–2025), by accessing academic databases such as Scopus, ScienceDirect, IEEE Xplore, SpringerLink, and Google Scholar. The keywords used include: 3D printing in mechanical engineering, additive manufacturing, design optimization, and technological integration in manufacturing.

The selected literature will be selected using certain inclusion criteria, namely (1) focusing on the application or development of 3D printing in the fields of mechanical engineering and manufacturing, (2) originating from scientifically accountable sources such as peer-reviewed journals, academic books, or technical reports from research institutions, and (3) written in English or Indonesian. Articles that are opinion-based, sourced from popular media, or do not go through a scientific review process will be eliminated from the analysis. The collected data are then analyzed using a thematic approach, namely by grouping findings into themes such as technology applications, technical and material constraints, their impact on the engineering education system, and changes in industrial structure due to the digitalization of manufacturing processes. This analysis process also takes into account the regional context and research methodology

used by each source, to ensure that the resulting synthesis is comprehensive and unbiased.

To improve the reliability of the results, source triangulation was conducted by comparing data from various regions and research approaches, both experimental, case studies, and conceptual reviews. All findings will be critically synthesized to identify common patterns, contradictions between studies, and research gaps that have not been widely studied. Thus, this study not only presents a summary of various sources, but also produces a reflective analysis that can be a scientific basis for further development, both in the academic scope and the implementation of 3D printing technology in mechanical engineering and manufacturing industry practices.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Paradigm Transformation of Design and Production in Mechanical Engineering through 3D Printing Technology

The paradigm shift in mechanical engineering design and production through 3D printing technology marks a profound shift from traditional approaches that have been heavily dependent on the limitations of conventional manufacturing processes. In conventional systems such as casting, machining, or injection molding, product design often has to be adjusted to the limitations of production tools such as parting line requirements, draft angles, or cutting tool radius limitations. However, with the advent of additive manufacturing (AM) technology, engineers can now develop a performance-driven design approach, a design strategy that starts from functional demands or structural loads rather than from process limitations. With this approach, mechanical components can be designed to optimize load distribution, reduce weight, and increase functional efficiency. A study by Hendriyaldi et al (2022) shows that the use of performance-based design in AM allows for structural efficiencies of up to 40% compared to traditional designs, especially in aerospace and automotive applications that are highly sensitive to weight.

One of the most significant methods enabled by 3D printing in the context of performative design is topology optimization. This method uses mathematical algorithms to remove material from areas that do not contribute significantly to the structural performance of a component, resulting in complex and often organic shapes that could not be realized through subtractive methods. For example, in a study conducted by Lodhi et al (2024), a topologically optimized aircraft bracket component manufactured by selective laser melting (SLM) showed a strength-to-weight ratio of up to 60% compared to a conventional version. This process not only improves the efficiency of the structure, but also opens up new possibilities for space utilization and integration of functions within a single component. Furthermore, by eliminating the need for tooling such as metal molds, AM-based manufacturing is ideal for custom production, rapid prototyping, and low-volume manufacturing that would otherwise be uneconomical to produce traditionally.

However, these advances come with complex technical challenges. One of the most fundamental is material anisotropy, which is the tendency for different mechanical properties depending on the direction of layer growth during printing. This phenomenon is often the main cause of early cracking, delamination, or premature failure of components. Research by Jiao et al (2021) shows that in the laser powder bed fusion (LPBF) process, vertically printed components can have a tensile strength of 15–30% lower than those printed horizontally. This challenge requires a reengineering approach in the design process, setting the print orientation, and precise process control through

parameters such as laser speed, build plate temperature, and layer arrangement strategy. In addition, differences in density and porosity distribution between layers also affect the reliability of the printed results, especially for critical components such as gears or structural elements in vehicles.

In addition to anisotropy, the surface quality of the printed part is also an issue that has not been fully resolved in many 3D printing systems. Rough surfaces due to the stair-stepping effect or micro metal spatter can cause premature wear, assembly problems, or even unwanted stress concentrations. In this context, finishing processes such as CNC machining, shot peening, or electropolishing are often still required to achieve tolerances and surface roughness that meet industry standards (Atzeni et al., 2020). However, this certainly increases production costs and time. Therefore, several scientific approaches such as in-situ process monitoring, closed-loop feedback control, and machine learning integration for print quality prediction are currently being developed to overcome this obstacle. Along with the evolution of AM technology, it is clear that these challenges are not obstacles, but rather triggers to continue improving the integration between design, materials, and processes, in order to realize a future manufacturing paradigm that is more efficient, sustainable, and adaptive to high customization needs.

Integration of 3D Printing Technology into Industrial Practices and Changes in Manufacturing Business Models

The integration of 3D printing technology into manufacturing practices has revolutionized the traditional paradigm of producing goods. Initially focused on the prototyping stage, additive manufacturing has now entered the final production phase with more and more large industries adopting it to create functional components. In the automotive sector, companies such as BMW and Ford have used 3D printing to produce complex vehicle parts, while in the aerospace industry, GE Aviation has printed aircraft fuel nozzles using metal with geometries that were previously impossible to achieve with conventional methods. According to Ngo et al (2018), 3D printing allows manufacturers to break away from conventional design constraints, facilitating the creation of lightweight and mechanically optimized components. This not only reduces the weight of the product but also improves its performance and operational efficiency. By eliminating the need for molds or tooling, the product development process is accelerated and upfront costs are significantly reduced.

This flexibility directly supports on-demand manufacturing strategies, where products can be printed on demand, allowing manufacturers to dynamically adapt to market fluctuations and individual consumer needs. This leads to a more responsive manufacturing model, with less waste, and no need for large inventories. In the context of a global supply chain that is vulnerable to disruptions such as those experienced during the COVID-19 pandemic, the ability to print components locally becomes a strategic advantage. A study by Siregar (2024) shows that 3D printing can shorten the supply chain and increase the speed of response to market needs through the concept of distributed manufacturing. This opens up opportunities for decentralized production, where small production centers can print products from digital files in various locations, even in remote areas, without having to rely on cross-border logistics. This paradigm also empowers SMEs and local communities to participate in the global production ecosystem without the need for large infrastructure investments.

However, the integration of these technologies is not without technical and structural challenges. One of the main obstacles is the limitation in mass production capacity. Although ideal for low volume and high complexity products, 3D printing cannot yet compete with mass production efficiency such as injection molding or stamping in

terms of speed and cost per unit at scale (Pelin et al., 2024; Jayakrishna et al., 2023). In addition, challenges in certification and regulation are major obstacles, especially in the medical and aviation sectors. 3D printed products are still questionable in terms of their quality consistency and long-term durability. According to Ngo et al. (2018), many 3D printing technologies still require in-depth technical validation to meet ISO or ASTM standards, especially in the context of material properties and structural integrity. Differences in quality between batches due to process variables such as temperature, printing speed, and material type are also a concern in ensuring product reliability.

This shift also affects the manufacturing business model more broadly. As manufacturing moves from mass production to mass customization, companies must adapt not only technically but also strategically. Business models that used to be product-based are now adopting a service-based approach (product-as-a-service), where consumers can order fully customized goods, even being involved in the design process. This drives the need for a robust digital ecosystem, from design management (CAD) platforms, cybersecurity systems for digital IP protection, to cloud-based digital logistics systems. According to a McKinsey report (2022), this shift will become even sharper as stronger metal and composite material printing technologies develop, enabling the printing of high-performance end products. Thus, the integration of 3D printing is not just a matter of adopting new technologies, but also a complete transformation of the industrial structure, logistics, regulations, and manufacturer-consumer interactions in the era of digital manufacturing.

Implications of 3D Printing Technology on Mechanical Engineering Education and the Need for New Competencies

The implications of 3D printing technology for mechanical engineering education bring challenges as well as strategic opportunities to reform the learning approach that has tended to be linear and separate between theory and practice. This technology is not just a production aid, but a new learning ecosystem that demands integration between the realms of theory, simulation, and direct implementation in the form of physical prototypes. In this context, mechanical engineering students are no longer sufficiently equipped with an understanding of classical mechanics and conventional principle-based design, but are required to understand the dynamics of additive manufacturing starting from the principle of layer-by-layer deposition, thermal control, material characteristics, to design adjustments based on print behavior. As explained by Syaifudin et al (2022), 3D printing plays a role in bringing the design and manufacturing processes closer together, shortening iterations, and opening up space for product personalization innovation. Therefore, engineering education must be able to reflect this condition in a more adaptive and flexible curriculum.

One of the main challenges is the need to revise the structure of the mechanical engineering curriculum to include new competencies that are digital and cross-disciplinary. Mastery of generative software such as Autodesk Fusion 360, Rhino-Grasshopper, or SolidWorks is no longer an additional skill, but a primary prerequisite for engineering graduates in the digital era. 3D printing technology relies heavily on digital models, so skills in generative design, topology optimization, and finite element analysis become very relevant. According to a report by Suharto et al (2022), technical skills in digital simulation and additive manufacturing are key competencies in recruiting engineering workers in innovation-based manufacturing companies. This indicates that higher education institutions need to transform from a content-based learning model to a problem-solving and design exploration-based model, where students are challenged to iteratively design, print, and evaluate engineering solutions.

The importance of cross-disciplinary integration is also a crucial aspect in developing new competencies. The additive manufacturing process is not only focused on mechanical engineering, but also involves a deep understanding of material properties (e.g., thermal deformation in sintering metals), tool programming, and design aesthetics. Therefore, a collaborative approach between mechanical engineering, materials engineering, product design, and even computer science is needed. A study by Humam et al (2025) emphasized the importance of transdisciplinary education that combines design, biology, computation, and engineering principles to respond to complex challenges in the future manufacturing world. In this context, engineering students need to be trained in a learning environment that combines scientific theory, material exploration, and hands-on practice in the form of prototyping using 3D printing, both for engineering products and experimental research devices.

Finally, this paradigm shift also demands a transformation in teaching methods and the role of lecturers as active learning facilitators. It is no longer enough for laboratories to only provide CNC machines or conventional fabrication equipment. Learning spaces need to be equipped with 3D printers of various scales, modeling and simulation software, and print performance testing tools. The project-based learning and design thinking approach can be an effective model in encouraging student involvement in the iterative design process that mimics real industry practices. It also strengthens students' ability to collaborate, think critically, and present ideas in a comprehensive format. In the long term, 3D printing technology-based engineering education reform will not only prepare graduates who are ready to work, but also produce innovators who are able to respond to future manufacturing challenges creatively and sustainably.

CONCLUSION

The paradigm shift in design and production in mechanical engineering through 3D printing represents a major leap from traditional manufacturing approaches to an era of performance-based digital engineering. This technology allows engineers to design without the constraints of conventional processes such as parting lines or cutting tool limitations. With additive manufacturing, design can focus on structural efficiency and mass reduction, as achieved through topology optimization that uses algorithms to remove non-essential material. Empirical studies have shown significant improvements in strength-to-weight ratios, especially in performance-sensitive industries such as aerospace. On the other hand, challenges such as material anisotropy and surface roughness create the need for precise process control and additional finishing strategies. Differences in mechanical properties between print orientations, as identified in the LPBF process, require engineers to redesign orientation strategies and process parameters. However, innovations such as in-situ monitoring and the integration of machine learning are potential solutions to improve consistency of results. In addition, the flexibility of 3D printing has driven significant changes in the supply chain and manufacturing business models. Local production capabilities based on digital files accelerate response times and enable decentralized manufacturing models. Engineering education must also evolve, equipping students with digital competencies across disciplines, from CAD to materials understanding. Engineering curricula now need to emphasize project-based learning and the integration of simulation, design, and implementation. In doing so, 3D printing technology is revolutionizing not only the way products are made, but also the way engineers are prepared for the future of manufacturing.

REFERENCES

Atzeni, E., Balestrucci, A., Catalano, A. R., Iuliano, L., Priarone, P. C., Salmi, A., & Settineri, L. (2020). Performance Assessment Of A Vibro-Finishing Technology For Additively Manufactured Components. *Procedia Cirp*, 88, 427-432.

Avriansah, R., Pristiansyah, P., & Erwanto, E. (2022, February). Optimasi Parameter Proses 3d Printing Terhadap Kekuatan Tarik Filament Polyethylene Terephthalat Glycol. In *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Inovasi Teknologi Terapan* (Vol. 2, No. 01, Pp. 394-400).

Hasdiansah, H., & Herianto, H. (2018). Pengaruh Parameter Proses 3d Printing Terhadap Elastisitas Produk Yang Dihasilkan. In *Prosiding Semnas Inotek (Seminar Nasional Inovasi Teknologi)* (Vol. 2, No. 1, Pp. 187-192).

Hendriyaldi, H., Erida, E., & Yanti, O. (2022). Transformasi Pelayanan Publik Digital Di Masa Pandemi Covid-19 Untuk Mewujudkan E-Government Di Lingkungan Pemerintah Daerah Kota Jambi. *Jurnal Paradigma Ekonomika*, 17(3), 669-682.

Humam, M., Sabanise, Y. F., Sulasmoro, A. H., & Huda, M. (2025). Upaya Peningkatan Kompetensi Pemodelan Objek Tiga Dimensi Menggunakan Aplikasi Autocad & Printer 3d. *Jmm (Jurnal Masyarakat Mandiri)*, 9(1), 924-934.

Jayakrishna, M., Vijay, M., & Khan, B. (2023). An Overview Of Extensive Analysis Of 3d Printing Applications In The Manufacturing Sector. *Journal Of Engineering*, 2023(1), 7465737.

Jiao, R., Commuri, S., Panchal, J., Milisavljevic-Syed, J., Allen, J. K., Mistree, F., & Schaefer, D. (2021). Design Engineering In The Age Of Industry 4.0. *Journal Of Mechanical Design*, 143(7), 070801.

Lodhi, S. K., Gill, A. Y., & Hussain, I. (2024). 3d Printing Techniques: Transforming Manufacturing With Precision And Sustainability. *International Journal Of Multidisciplinary Sciences And Arts*, 3(3), 129-138.

Maximillian, A., & Jonatan, L. L. K. (2024, April). Perbandingan Eksplorasi Motif Filamen Pla Dengan Teknik 3d Printer Dan 3d Pen. In *Senada (Seminar Nasional Manajemen, Desain Dan Aplikasi Bisnis Teknologi)* (Vol. 7, Pp. 69-80).

Ngo, T. D., Kashani, A., Imbalzano, G., Nguyen, K. T., & Hui, D. (2018). Additive Manufacturing (3d Printing): A Review Of Materials, Methods, Applications And Challenges. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 143, 172-196.

Ongki, R. I. (2021). *Pengaruh Parameter Proses 3d Printing Terhadap Kekuatan Impak Menggunakan Metode Charpy Pada Filamen Pla* (Doctoral Dissertation, Politeknik Manufaktur Negeri Bangka Belitung).

Pristiansya, P., & Herianto, H. (2018). Pengaruh Parameter 3d Printing Terhadap Transparansi Produk Yang Dihasilkan. In *Prosiding Semnas Inotek (Seminar Nasional Inovasi Teknologi)* (Vol. 2, No. 1, Pp. 181-186).

Pristiansyah, P., Hasdiansah, H., & Sugiyarto, S. (2019). Optimasi Parameter Proses 3d Printing Fdm Terhadap Akurasi Dimensi Menggunakan Filament Eflex. *Manutech: Jurnal Teknologi Manufaktur*, 11(01), 33-40.

Putra, R. D. E., & Fitri, T. Z. (2024). Analysis Of The Effectiveness Of 3d Printing Technology As An Alternative To Replacing Machine Components. *Journal Of Engineering Science And Technology Management (Jes-Tm)*, 4(2), 71-75.

Ridwan, R., Pratama, D. W., & Parapat, R. Y. (2025). Nano Teknologi Dalam Proses Manufaktur Untuk Meningkatkan Presisi Dan Kekuatan Material Dalam Mesin 3d Printing. *Scientica: Jurnal Ilmiah Sains Dan Teknologi*, 3(3), 129-141.

Siregar, M. I. A. (2024). Teknologi 3d Printing Dalam Rekayasa Mesin: Peluang Dan Tantangan. *Circle Archive*, 1(6).

Suharto, S., Saputra, E., Paryono, P., & Setiyawan, T. (2022, May). Pengembangan Laboratorium Komputer Perancangan Mesin Dengan Printer 3 Dimensi (3d) Tipe Fused Deposition Modelling (Fdm). In *Prosiding Seminar Hasil Penelitian Dan Pengabdian Masyarakat* (Vol. 4, No. 1).

Syaifudin, A., Effendi, M. K., Pramono, A. S., Kaelani, Y., Ariatedja, J. B., & Harnany, D. (2022). Analisis Efektivitas Pelatihan Singkat 3d Modelling, 3d Scanning, Dan 3d Printing Pada Siswa Sma. *Sewagati*, 6(5), 598-606.