Educating in An Era of Distortion: Effective Literacy as The Foundation of Education Amidst An Information Explosion

Arman Paramansyah[✉]

STAI Al-Marhalah Al-Ulya, Bekasi, Jawa Barat

Abstrak

Era digital telah memunculkan ledakan informasi yang membuat peserta didik terpapar misinformasi, bias algoritmik, dan narasi yang terdistorsi sehingga menantang fungsi dasar pendidikan. Penelitian ini memposisikan literasi informasi bukan sebagai keterampilan teknis, tetapi sebagai kapasitas kognitif, sosial, dan etis yang menjadi fondasi untuk menavigasi ekosistem digital yang semakin volatil dan manipulatif. Dengan menggunakan metode kualitatif deskriptif berbasis analisis literatur, penelitian ini mensintesis perspektif akademik terbaru mengenai literasi informasi, kewargaan digital, epistemologi pendidikan, dan dinamika pembelajaran pascapandemi. Data dianalisis melalui teknik reduksi informasi, identifikasi tema, dan sintesis konsep untuk memetakan bagaimana literasi berfungsi sebagai mekanisme pertahanan epistemik. Temuan menunjukkan bahwa literasi membekali peserta didik dengan kemampuan mengevaluasi, memverifikasi, dan merekonstruksi informasi sehingga mampu menolak hoaks, polarisasi, dan misinformasi yang bersifat emosional. Literasi juga memperkuat penilaian moral, tanggung jawab sosial, dan konstruksi pengetahuan kolaboratif sehingga menciptakan sistem keamanan pendidikan yang melindungi dari distorsi informasi. Namun, penelitian ini mengidentifikasi sejumlah hambatan seperti sistem evaluasi yang berorientasi hafalan, kesiapan pendidik yang terbatas, ketergantungan emosional terhadap ruang digital, dan kecenderungan budaya pada kebenaran berbasis opini. Hambatan tersebut membuat literasi belum berfungsi optimal sebagai fondasi pendidikan kontemporer. Kesimpulan penelitian menegaskan bahwa literasi harus didefinisikan ulang sebagai inti eksistensial pendidikan yang menopang pemikiran kritis, penalaran etis, dan kewargaan dalam era ketidakstabilan informasi. Dengan mereposisi literasi secara demikian, ledakan informasi justru menjadi peluang untuk melahirkan peserta didik yang otonom secara intelektual dan bertanggung jawab secara sosial.

Kata kunci: ekosistem pendidikan, kewargaan digital, literasi informasi, misinformasi, pemikiran kritis.

Abstract

The digital era has generated an unprecedented explosion of information that exposes students to misinformation, algorithmic bias, and distorted narratives, thereby challenging the traditional functions of education. This study positions information literacy not as a technical skill but as a foundational cognitive, social, and ethical capacity required to navigate an increasingly volatile and manipulative digital ecosystem. Using a descriptive qualitative method based on literature analysis, this research synthesizes scholarly perspectives from recent studies on information literacy, digital citizenship, educational epistemology, and post-pandemic learning dynamics. Data were analyzed through content reduction, thematic identification, and conceptual synthesis to map how literacy operates as an epistemic safeguard. The findings indicate that literacy equips learners with the

capacity to evaluate, verify, and reconstruct information, enabling them to resist hoaxes, polarization, and emotionally driven misinformation. Literacy also strengthens moral judgment, social responsibility, and collaborative knowledge construction, forming an educational security system that protects against information distortion. However, the study identifies persistent barriers, including memorization-oriented evaluation systems, limited educator readiness, emotional dependence on digital spaces, and cultural tendencies toward opinion-based truth. These obstacles hinder literacy from functioning effectively as the foundation of contemporary education. The conclusion emphasizes that literacy must be redefined as an existential educational core that sustains critical thinking, ethical reasoning, and citizenship in an era of informational instability. By reconceptualizing literacy in this way, the information explosion becomes an opportunity to cultivate intellectually autonomous and socially responsible learners.

Keywords: critical thinking, digital citizenship, information literacy, misinformation, education ecosystem

✉Corresponding author : Arman Paramansyah
Email Address : Paramansyah.aba@gmail.com

Introductions

The massive explosion of information in the digital age has fundamentally changed the face of education. Easy access to knowledge, once considered an advantage, has now become a challenge because students are faced not only with abundant information but also with distorted, excessive, and often misleading information. This era is marked by a flood of digital content, polarization of opinion, click-bait, and the spread of misinformation and disinformation that undermines the process of knowledge formation and critical thinking in students. Haider and Sundin (2022) refer to this phenomenon as a crisis of information, a situation in which people have unlimited access to information but lack the ability to assess its validity. Education is then under great pressure because it is not only required to impart knowledge, but also to protect the younger generation from increasingly complex information manipulation.

In this context, information literacy becomes the main foundation of education. Literacy is not only the skill of reading and writing, but also the capacity to access, evaluate, verify, and reconstruct information to produce meaningful knowledge. Panda and Kaur (2024) emphasize that modern education must equip students with an emerging information literacy framework to navigate the flow of digital information critically and independently. This means that education should not stop at the transfer of information, but must build reflective cognitive abilities to assess the truth and form selective, ethical, and responsible attitudes in the process of receiving and disseminating information in the digital space.

The COVID-19 pandemic has accelerated students' exposure to information overload and exacerbated their vulnerability to hoaxes and infodemics. Singh and Banga (2022) show that the flow of infodemics during the pandemic has created impulsive and reactive information consumption behaviors, causing many people including students, to rely more on emotional beliefs than data verification. This phenomenon indicates that education must teach information literacy from an early age so that students can build resilience against bias and misinformation. Nuralim and Ghafirin (2023) support this view by showing that media and information literacy play a significant role in filtering information in the VUCA era, which is characterized by volatility, uncertainty, complexity, and ambiguity. This clarifies that the ability to counter distortion can only be achieved through the formation of systematic critical thinking.

Information distortion has implications not only for the cognitive dimension, but also for character building and the social dimension of education. Agustang et al. (2021) found that online learning during the pandemic resulted in distortion of character education because students absorbed values, narratives, and behavioral models from digital spaces that were not always in line with the ethical and moral values of formal education. At the same time, Westheimer and Ladson-Billings (2024) emphasize that education should shape citizenship for the common good, namely citizens who are able to use information for the public interest, not just for personal or group interests. Therefore,

education in the era of information distortion is no longer just about teaching facts, but shaping critical, ethical, collaborative, and responsible thinking skills.

The transformation of educational technology also demands information literacy as a professional competency. Salubi (2025) asserts that the transition to industry 4.0 requires all actors in education, including librarians and teachers, to be able to utilize technology and select information intelligently to accelerate change after Covid-19. The same is emphasized by Andreas et al. (2025), who show that improving information literacy is an absolute necessity for educators if they want to maintain the relevance of their educational function amid changes in the information system. Even the integration of open collaborative platforms such as Wikipedia and Wikidata has been proven to strengthen information literacy and the social impact of education when used as a space for knowledge production, rather than merely passive consumption, as shown by Evenstein Sigalov et al. (2024).

From an Islamic and moral education perspective, the flow of distorted information also requires critical reading. Ningrum et al. (2025) emphasize that media literacy plays an important role in countering information distortion in the post-truth context to strengthen value-based Islamic education. Gazali (2021) also shows that information literacy can be an epistemological bridge to understanding the integration of science and religion so that students have a moderate, critical worldview and are not easily manipulated by digital narrative bias. Thus, information literacy in education not only defends empirical truth but also prevents destructive ideological polarization.

However, strengthening information literacy should not be understood as a technical process. Eastwood and Ormondroyd (2017) state that educational risks occur when reality is oversimplified and students are not trained to understand information in depth. This is parallel to Wulan (2022), who explains that students experience technology shock when they are not equipped with adequate technological literacy, so that the presence of technology actually weakens their intellectual and social resilience. Education will only be able to respond to the era of distortion if information literacy is used as a foundation for building critical awareness and evaluative creativity, not just instrumental digital skills.

The research gap in this topic arises because most previous studies have examined information literacy from the perspective of learning effectiveness without linking it to the function of literacy as the foundation of education in the era of information distortion. For example, the study by Panda & Kaur (2024) "Empowered Minds: Navigating Digital Seas with Emerging Information Literacy Framework" focuses on the digital information literacy framework, but does not discuss literacy as the foundation of education in the face of an information explosion. The research by Singh & Banga (2022) "Media and Information Literacy for Developing Resistance to 'Infodemic'" emphasizes the importance of literacy in countering hoaxes during COVID-19, but does not discuss the relationship between literacy and the continuity of long-term educational goals. Meanwhile, Evenstein Sigalov et al.'s (2024) study "Transforming Higher Education: A Decade of Integrating Wikipedia and Wikidata for Literacy Enhancement and Social Impact" highlights the improvement of literacy through digital collaboration, but has not constructed the role of literacy as the philosophical backbone of education in an era of distortion. These three studies leave an important gap: there has been no study explaining that literacy is not merely a technical competency, but an existential foundation of education to remain relevant amid the explosion of information.

The novelty of this research lies in mapping literacy as the conceptual foundation of education that maintains the continuity of educational functions amid information distortion, not merely as a digital skill.

Methodology

This study uses a descriptive qualitative approach based on literature analysis to evaluate how literacy can serve as the foundation of education amid information distortion. This approach allows for an in-depth understanding of social, technological, and epistemological phenomena from various complementary academic perspectives. The choice of qualitative methods refers to the guidelines of Creswell and Poth (2018) in Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design, which explains that qualitative research provides space to construct conceptual interpretations through systematic review of the literature, rather than simply collecting empirical data.

The data sources for this study include international journals, proceedings, books, and academic reports related to information literacy, digital education, and the era of information

distortion. Data analysis was conducted using content analysis techniques through three stages, namely information reduction, theme identification, and conceptual meaning synthesis. This procedure was used to identify patterns, differences, and conceptual directions in the literature related to the role of literacy as the foundation of education. The validity of the argumentation is maintained through triangulation of findings and logical consistency between literature data, critical analysis, and research objectives as recommended by Creswell and Poth (2018) in qualitative research.

Result and Dicussion
Literacy as a Mechanism for Protecting Education in an Era of Information Distortion

Education in the 21st century no longer faces the problem of information scarcity, but rather an excess of information that blurs the lines between fact and opinion, data and manipulation, and science and propaganda. In this context, literacy becomes an epistemological shield for education so that students are able to distinguish valid knowledge from distorted information. Haider and Sundin (2022) refer to this phenomenon as the *crisis of information*, which gives students broad access but limited selection capacity, causing education to lose its control as the guarantor of truth. This means that without a strong foundation in literacy, schools become vulnerable because students bring the influence of digital algorithms into the learning space, rather than the other way around. Thus, education requires a literacy structure that not only teaches how to find information but also teaches how to find the truth.

Literacy is no longer just a technical skill but a reflective cognitive capacity. Panda and Kaur (2024) explain that the emerging information literacy framework positions students as critical navigators who are able to select information independently, not passive users of digital technology. This framework shows that literacy oriented solely towards technical skills is not enough to protect students from information distortion. If education only teaches information access skills, students will remain victims of search algorithms that prioritize popular content over accurate content. Therefore, education must build evaluative, verificative, and reflective skills as the core of information literacy so that students have resilience in a digital ecosystem full of noise and disinformation.

Information distortion has been proven to have moral and social impacts, not just cognitive ones. Agustang et al. (2021) show that students' character during online learning is distorted due to exposure to digital values and narratives that conflict with the moral character of formal education. This phenomenon proves that misinformation not only obscures perception but also shapes behavior and value orientation. In this framework, literacy must be restored as the foundation of character, not just academic competence. Literacy enables students not only to *know* but also to *evaluate* and *act ethically*, so they are not easily influenced by emotional bias, social polarization, or identity manipulation.

Literacy also has a very important social dimension in building citizenship. Westheimer and Ladson-Billings (2024) emphasize that education must shape citizens who are able to use information for the common good, not just for personal gain. In a digital society rife with polarization, literacy serves to build collective awareness of the impact of information dissemination on social stability. Without literacy, education only develops individual intelligence but fails to build social intelligence. Therefore, strengthening literacy is not only an academic endeavor, but also a strategy to protect against social disruption.

Resilience to *infodemics* cannot be built through prohibitions or access restrictions, but through the formation of intellectual autonomy. Singh and Banga (2022) show that individuals with strong information literacy are better able to resist the flow of hoaxes and validate information based on sources, not emotional resonance. This means that literacy protects students from the human tendency to believe information that fits their biases, regardless of objective facts. Thus, modern education requires critical reflection-based literacy strategies, not just moral education or regulation.

In the era of society 5.0, literacy also becomes the foundation of work and creative abilities. Nuralim and Ghafirin (2023) explain that media and information literacy are core competencies for education to remain meaningful amid volatility, uncertainty, complexity, and ambiguity (VUCA). Without literacy, students will be overwhelmed by changes in technology and information, causing education to lose its relevance.

Literacy is the basis of self-regulated learning, which is the ability to learn independently throughout life. Education risks collapse if digital reality is not read critically. Eastwood and Ormondroyd (2017) state that education can create distortions of reality if students are not taught to delve deeply into information.

This view implies that education is not only aimed at imparting knowledge, but also at restoring critical thinking in a society burdened by information overload. Thus, literacy cannot be positioned as a single component of learning, but rather as the existential foundation of education in an era of information distortion.

Literacy as an existential foundation of education also implies that schools must reposition learning as an ongoing process of meaning-making rather than mere information transmission. The growing complexity of digital environments demands that students develop meta-literacy, namely the awareness of how information is produced, circulated, and legitimized across platforms. As highlighted by contemporary literacy scholars, students not only need to evaluate sources, but also to recognize the power structures embedded in algorithmic curation and platform governance that shape what they see and how they interpret the world. This awareness transforms literacy into a form of epistemic agency, enabling learners to question dominant narratives, identify manipulation patterns, and construct informed judgments in uncertain contexts. When literacy is understood as agency rather than ability, education shifts toward cultivating learners who can sustain intellectual integrity amid accelerating misinformation cycles and increasingly opaque digital ecosystems.

Effective Literacy as an Educational Security System: A Framework of Cognitive, Social, and Ethical Competencies

To understand how literacy can become a strong foundation for education, it is necessary to examine the mechanisms of literacy competency formation from cognitive, social, and ethical perspectives. Literacy becomes effective not because students are able to access information, but because they are able to manage their thinking processes, reflect on the social impact of information, and act ethically in the digital space. Panda and Kaur (2024) show that effective literacy requires metacognitive learning that trains students to assess sources, validate data, and organize knowledge with an awareness of algorithmic bias. This ability forms an intellectual defense against information manipulation.

The relationship between the components of literacy can be synthesized through the following conceptual table:

Literacy Dimension	Cognitive Operation	Social Function	Ethical Outcome
Information Access	Search, retrieval, and selection	Participation in digital knowledge networks	Responsible consumption of information
Critical Evaluation	Verification, comparison, and triangulation	Resistance to social manipulation	Integrity in decision-making
Knowledge Construction	Synthesis, interpretation, and reflection	Collaboration in meaning-making	Accountability for shared information
Digital	Communication,	Collective awareness	Prevention of harmful

Citizenship	moderation, and empathy	and respect	information behavior
Action and Advocacy	Applying information for social change	Community empowerment	Information used for the common good

This table shows that literacy is not a linear process, but a system that shapes cognitive, social, and moral identities. Individuals become literate when they not only understand information, but also understand their role as actors in the information ecosystem. Evenstein Sigalov et al. (2024) show that when learners produce information through communal platforms such as Wikipedia and Wikidata, literacy develops into social responsibility awareness, not just technical understanding.

The social dimension of literacy is crucial for building resilience against information polarization. Singh and Banga (2022) show that hoaxes spread because people find emotional comfort in information that reinforces group biases. Thus, effective literacy must teach empathy, multiple perspectives, and the ability to engage in dialogue. Without social learning, literacy only creates individuals who are critical of others but not critical of themselves.

The ethical aspect of literacy is also central to character building. Westheimer and Ladson-Billings (2024) emphasize that educated citizens are not only those who understand information, but those who use it for the common good. Thus, literacy functions as an ethical compass that requires learners to consider the impact of information dissemination on the community. When literacy is oriented toward the public interest, education does not merely build academic competence but also builds a social ethos.

Overall, effective literacy learning creates an educational security system: it protects learners from manipulation, guides them in building knowledge ethically, and trains them to use information for the public good. Without this framework, education will only produce individuals who are technically intelligent but vulnerable to distortions of reality.

Challenges in Implementing Effective Literacy and Strategic Implications for the Education System

Although literacy has been recognized as the foundation of education in an era of information distortion, its implementation in schools faces serious challenges that could potentially render literacy nothing more than a curriculum slogan with no substantial impact on students. The first challenge is the educational paradigm that still places memorization and mastery of material as the main indicators of learning success. Haider and Sundin (2022) show that the information crisis occurs not because of a lack of access to data, but because of a lack of critical capacity to manage information. However, the current education evaluation system still prioritizes speed in mastering material over careful thinking.

This condition makes information literacy merely a methodological jargon without a learning structure that requires exploration, source validation, and deep reflection. As long as the education system still values quick answers over critical thinking processes, literacy will not be able to serve as a protective shield against information distortion. The second challenge relates to the readiness of educators.

Many teachers and lecturers themselves have difficulty distinguishing credible information from disinformation, making them unable to teach literacy effectively to students. Andreas et al. (2025) emphasize that improving information literacy must begin with strengthening the competence of educators, because librarians, teachers, and learning facilitators are epistemic actors responsible for maintaining the quality of information in educational institutions. However, literacy training often focuses only on the use of technology, not on the development of evaluative and ethical mechanisms in selecting information sources. As a result, technology-rich schools are not always literate schools,

because technology without literacy only accelerates the flow of information without the ability to filter it.

In addition to the challenges faced by teachers, there is a serious problem in the form of students' emotional dependence on digital spaces. Singh and Banga (2022) explain that *infodemics* spread not because of the strength of hoax arguments, but because manipulative content triggers emotional satisfaction and a false sense of togetherness. Thus, education is not enough to teach academic logic; it must teach emotional resilience to digital narratives that manipulate identity. Nuralim and Ghafirin (2023) emphasize that media literacy is only effective if students are guided to understand that not all convenient information is true, and not all disturbing news is a lie. Literacy requires the skill of self-examination, not just examining sources.

The cultural dimension also complicates the implementation of literacy. In digital society, personal opinions are often positioned as absolute truths, while critical debate is considered a threat. Westheimer and Ladson-Billings (2024) emphasize that education must restore the social function of literacy to shape citizens for the common good. However, the digital reality encourages polarization, which makes students tend to seek information that reinforces social group biases rather than seeking the truth. If schools avoid critical discussions for the sake of "classroom comfort," then education plays a role in perpetuating a culture of anti-critical thinking, something that is very dangerous for the intellectual stability of the younger generation.

The next challenge is the epistemological gap between the digital world and the world of education. Salubi (2025) shows that educational institutions often lag behind the dynamics of technology and information, making education rigid in the midst of a highly fluid information system. This discontinuity leads students to consider the digital space more important than the academic space, so that their epistemic preferences are shaped by media trends rather than by the academic knowledge system. As long as schools fail to integrate digital literacy into the core curriculum, the world of education will always lose out to the digital space, which offers a much faster and more emotional information experience.

Thus, effective literacy can only be achieved if education undergoes structural transformation. First, schools must redesign learning evaluations from a memorization-oriented approach to evaluative performance that assesses the ability to validate information, reflect on arguments, and contribute socially to the use of information. Second, teachers must be prepared as *information gatekeepers* who not only master technology, but also understand the epistemology of information, cognitive biases, and the ethics of information distribution. Third, education must restore a culture of critical dialogue to train students to question assumptions, understand different perspectives, and make decisions based on data, not emotional impulses. If this structure is not built, literacy will remain an academic jargon that is unable to protect students from digital manipulation.

At this point, it is clear that literacy is not access, not technology, not just the ability to read or search for literacy sources as a mechanism for intellectual, social, and moral defense of education from the threat of global information distortion. If education can position literacy as a core foundation, then the explosion of information is not a threat, but an opportunity to give birth to a generation capable of critical thinking, clear reasoning, ethical information processing, and active contribution to social life. In other words, effective literacy is not just a learning skill, but an educational safety infrastructure in an era of distortion.

Conclusion

Research analysis shows that education in the information explosion era is at a crossroads between opportunity and threat. Easy access to information does not automatically improve the quality of learning if students are not equipped with the ability to assess the validity, bias, and social consequences of the information they consume. Literacy is proven to be more than just the skills of

reading and searching for information, but also the epistemological foundation of education that serves to protect students from information distortion, infodemics, digital polarization, and algorithmic manipulation. Effective literacy encompasses cognitive, social, and ethical dimensions that work simultaneously to build critical thinking, self-reflection, collaboration, and moral responsibility in the use of information. Thus, education can only maintain its relevance if schools direct learning to build reflective abilities and critical awareness of information, not just the ability to access knowledge.

The structural implications of this research emphasize that strengthening literacy must be the foundation of the curriculum, not an incidental additional program or technical inclusion. Schools need to provide a systematic curriculum based on information evaluation, open collaborative spaces to construct the meaning of knowledge socially, and cultivate ethical reflection in the consumption and distribution of digital information. Teachers need professional training to be able to implement literacy as a principle of learning, not just as material. In addition, educational institutions, families, and communities need to build a shared literacy ecosystem so that students are not only academically intelligent but also have the protective skills to deal with information distortion in the digital world. With such structural support, education can produce students who are critical, independent, and responsible in building knowledge amid an unlimited flow of information

References

Agustang, A., Adam, A., & Upe, A. (2021). Online Learning and Distortion of Character Education in the Covid-19 Pandemic Era. Management.

Andreas, R., Permana, A. M., & Priyanto, P. (2025). Peningkatan Literasi Informasi untuk Pustakawan, Guru dan Pegiat Literasi di Dinas Kearsipan dan Perpustakaan Kabupaten Karanganyar. Harmoni Sosial: Jurnal Pengabdian dan Solidaritas Masyarakat, 2(3), 22-32.

Baltodano, M. (2023). Neoliberalism and the demise of public education: The corporatization of schools of education. In Neoliberalism and education (pp. 78-98). Routledge.

Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Eastwood, L., & Ormondroyd, C. (2017). Risk and education: a distortion of reality. In Risk, education and culture (pp. 32-45). Routledge.

Evenstein Sigalov, S., Cohen, A., & Nachmias, R. (2024). Transforming higher education: A decade of integrating wikipedia and wikidata for literacy enhancement and social impact. Journal of Computers in Education, 1-43.

Fabricant, M., & Brier, S. (2016). Austerity blues: Fighting for the soul of public higher education. JHU press.

Ghazali, Z. (2021). Literasi Informasi Dalam Memahami Pemikiran Nidhal Guessoum Tentang Integrasi Antara Sains Dan Agama Islam. Syi'ar: Jurnal Ilmu Komunikasi, Penyuluhan dan Bimbingan Masyarakat Islam, 4(1), 33-48.

Haider, J., & Sundin, O. (2022). Paradoxes of media and information literacy: The crisis of information (p. 174). Taylor & Francis.

Liriwati, F. Y., Suardika, I. K., Yusnanto, T., Sitanggang, A., Gui, M. D., Kurdi, M. S., ... & Wardah, W. (2024). Pendidikan literasi.

Mínguez, C., Martínez-Hernández, C., & Yubero, C. (2021). Higher education and the sustainable tourism pedagogy: Are tourism students ready to lead change in the post pandemic era?. Journal of Hospitality, Leisure, Sport & Tourism Education, 29, 100329.

Ningrum, U. D., Syahdan, M. T., Ritonga, I. W., & Sari, H. P. (2025). Penguatan Pendidikan Islam di Era Post-Truth: Peran Literasi Media dalam Menangkal Distorsi Informasi. Robbayana: Jurnal Pendidikan Agama Islam, 3(1), 1-16.

Nuralim, F., & Ghafirin, M. A. (2023, August). Literasi media dan informasi (LMI): Menyaring informasi di era VUCA untuk pendidikan yang bermakna. In Prosiding SEMDIKJAR (Seminar Nasional Pendidikan Dan Pembelajaran) (Vol. 6, pp. 120-130).

Panda, S., & Kaur, N. (2024). Empowered minds: Navigating digital seas with emerging information literacy framework. In Examining information literacy in academic libraries (pp. 48-82). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

Putri, S. C., & Irhandayaningsih, A. (2021). Literasi Informasi Generasi Millennial dalam Bermedia Sosial untuk Mengatasi Penyebaran Berita Hoax Terkait Covid-19 di Kabupaten Pati. Anuva:

Jurnal Kajian Budaya, Perpustakaan, dan Informasi, 5(3), 491-504.

Rokhim, A. (2022). Ekosistem Pendidikan dalam Perspektif Al-Qur'an (Doctoral dissertation, Institut PTIQ Jakarta).

Salubi, O. (2025). Transforming libraries and information professionals for the industry 4.0 in developing countries: Towards the development of a framework for accelerating change post-Covid-19. Alexandria, 35(1-2), 3-18.

SEDIYONO, S. A. N. G. (2024). Dampak Pembelajaran Daring Terhadap Penguatan Literasi Informasi Siswa Sekolah Dasar di Perumahan Griya Alam Asri 3 Kadokan Grogol Sukoharjo-1752000150 (Doctoral dissertation, Universitas Veteran Bangun Nusantara).

Singh, N., & Banga, G. (2022). Media and information literacy for developing resistance to 'infodemic': lessons to be learnt from the binge of misinformation during COVID-19 pandemic. Media, culture & society, 44(1), 161-171.

Westheimer, J., & Ladson-Billings, G. (2024). What kind of citizen?: Educating our children for the common good. Teachers College Press.

Wulan, A. N. (2022). LITERASI TEKNOLOGI DI ERA DISRUPSI "CATATAN KRITIS MAHASISWA". Alinea Media Dipantara.

Yuniarto, B., & Yudha, R. P. (2021). Literasi digital sebagai penguatan pendidikan karakter menuju era society 5.0. Edueksos Jurnal Pendidikan Sosial & Ekonomi, 10(2).