Volume 2 Issue 4 (August, 2025)

# Journal of Pedagogy: Journal of Education

ISSN: : 3046-9554 (Online)

# Digitalization of Education Management: Challenges and Opportunities in the Hybrid Learning Era

# Ichsan<sup>1</sup>, Yuanita Susanti<sup>2</sup>

Politeknik Negeri Pontianak, Indonesia<sup>1</sup>, Universitas Tangerang Raya, Indonesia<sup>2</sup> DOI: https://doi.org/10.62872/66y2j952

#### Abstract

Digital transformation in education management is a strategic response to the demands of the industrial revolution 4.0 and society 5.0, which require education systems to be more adaptive, efficient, and information technology-based. Digitalization not only transforms administrative processes but also revolutionizes educational governance structurally and culturally through the integration of systems such as LMS, SIAKAD, and analytical dashboards. In the context of hybrid learning, digital-based education management is key to managing both synchronous and asynchronous learning processes. This study uses a qualitative approach with a literature review method to analyze the challenges and opportunities of digitalizing education management. The analysis shows that although digitalization opens up space for pedagogical innovation and effective data-driven decision-making, various challenges remain, such as infrastructure gaps, low digital literacy, and organizational cultural resistance. However, a flexible, contextual, and participatory managerial approach can overcome these obstacles and encourage the creation of an inclusive and sustainable education ecosystem. Therefore, digitalization in education management must be seen as a systemic process that requires synergy between national policies, human resource readiness, and institutional paradigm shifts to shape resilient, equitable, and quality-oriented 21st-century education.

**Keywords**: Digitalization, Education Management, Hybrid Learning.

Copyright (c) 2024 Ichsan<sup>1</sup>, Yuanita Susanti<sup>2</sup>

☐ Corresponding author: Ichsan Email Address: ichanida@yahoo.com

Received July 14, 2025, Accepted August 15, 2025, Published August 23, 2025

#### Introduction

Digital transformation in the education sector has become inevitable in the era of the Industrial Revolution 4.0 and Society 5.0. Information and communication technology (ICT) now not only supports the teaching and learning process but also revolutionizes the way educational institutions manage their internal management systems. Digitalization enables integrated, efficient, and transparent administration, communication, supervision, and evaluation processes (Ilhami et al., 2024). Previously manual and bureaucratic education management has now evolved into a more responsive and data-driven system, in line with the increasing need for speed and accuracy of services in modern education delivery (Wahyudi & Jatun, 2024).

In the context of hybrid learning, the digitalization of education management becomes even more significant. This learning model combines face-to-face and online meetings, both synchronously and asynchronously, requiring a flexible and adaptive management system. Managing lesson schedules, digital attendance, material distribution, online assessments, and tracking student engagement becomes complex and requires reliable digital system integration (Legi et al., 2025). Without technology-based



Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/

DOI: https://doi.org/10.62872/66y2j952

management, hybrid learning can potentially experience technical and administrative challenges that disrupt the effectiveness of the teaching and learning process.

However, this digitalization process is not without significant structural and cultural challenges. The digital divide remains a major obstacle, particularly in areas with limited internet access and a lack of technological devices. Furthermore, human resource readiness is also a determining factor in the success of digital transformation. Many educators and managerial staff lack adequate technological competency, both in the use of educational management software and in optimally utilizing data for decision-making (Sahin, 2022; Mubarok, 2024).

Furthermore, resistance to change is also a non-technical challenge that cannot be ignored. Conservative organizational cultures often hinder innovation in educational institutions. Implementing a digital-based management system requires a paradigm shift, from manual and hierarchical work systems to a collaborative and technology-based approach. Without a shift in mindset and increased human resource capacity, digitalization will tend to be artificial and fail to achieve substantive improvements in educational management (Pucciarelli & Kaplan, 2022).

Nevertheless, the opportunities offered by digitalizing education management are enormous. With the right digital systems, educational institutions can comprehensively and accurately manage student data, from profiles and academic achievement to non-academic development. This enables real-time monitoring and evaluation and evidence-based decision-making, which in turn can improve the effectiveness of educational policies implemented at both the institutional and systemic levels.

Furthermore, the use of management technologies such as Learning Management Systems (LMS), Academic Information Systems (AIS), and analytical dashboards provides teachers and principals with opportunities for pedagogical and managerial innovation. Through these systems, teachers can quickly access student progress reports, while school leaders can monitor the institution's overall performance (Rambe, 2024). This strengthens strategic digital leadership practices in the context of future education.

Digitalization also promotes inclusive and personalized education. In a hybrid model, a technology-based management system enables personalized learning based on student interest and achievement data. Educational services can also be more equitable, as technology opens access for students in remote areas to stay connected to the education system (Aulia et al., 2025). Furthermore, collaboration between schools, parents, and communities becomes more effective because information systems can be accessed openly and interactively.

Thus, digitalizing education management in the hybrid learning era is not simply a response to technological disruption, but rather a transformational strategy that can improve the quality of education governance and services. To achieve this, synergy is needed between national policies, infrastructure readiness, increased digital literacy among stakeholders, and the cultivation of technology-based work systems. Only with this holistic approach can challenges be overcome and opportunities maximized sustainably.

#### Methodology

This research uses a qualitative approach with a literature review. This approach was chosen because the primary focus of the study is an in-depth analysis of various theoretical and empirical sources related to the digitalization of education management in the context of hybrid learning. Qualitative research provides the flexibility to explore the meanings, concepts, and socio-organizational dynamics underlying the digital-based transformation of education management.

The data sources in this study consist of various scientific documents such as national and international journal articles, academic books, conference proceedings, institutional research reports, and relevant education policy regulations, particularly those published within the last five years (2020–2025). Source selection was conducted purposively, considering the credibility, relevance, and recency of the topics discussed.

Data collection was conducted through documentary analysis. Researchers accessed various academic databases such as Google Scholar, DOAJ, Scopus, and SINTA to obtain literature focused on the digitalization of educational management, hybrid learning systems, educational technology, and the challenges and opportunities for their implementation within the context of institutional policies and practices.

The collected data was analyzed using thematic content analysis techniques. The analysis process involved categorization, interpretation, and synthesis of findings from various literature sources.

Key themes, such as (1) the digital transformation of educational management, (2) the challenges of implementing technology in a hybrid context, and (3) opportunities for managerial innovation, were identified and critically reviewed to build a comprehensive and argumentative conceptual framework.

To enhance data validity, source triangulation was conducted by comparing study results from various literature sources with different perspectives, such as education policy studies, implementation case studies, and evaluation reports of education digitalization programs. Concept validation was also conducted through a literature discussion of modern education management theory and educational information systems approaches.

#### **Results and Discussion**

### 1. Transformation of Educational Management through Digitalization in a Hybrid Context

The transformation of educational management in the digital era represents a paradigmatic shift from conventional administrative-hierarchical systems to a more dynamic, adaptive, and information technology-based managerial model. Digitalization not only brings technical innovations but also reconstructs institutional structures, updates institutional communication patterns, and facilitates accurate, real-time data-driven governance. In this context, educational management is required not only to manage administration but also to act as a strategic actor in orchestrating complex and multidimensional hybrid learning.

Structural changes in educational management are reflected in the integration of digital information systems into the institutional framework, where software such as the Learning Management System (LMS), Academic Information System (SIAKAD), and digital communication platforms form a new managerial infrastructure that enables simultaneous cross-functional coordination. The LMS, for example, serves not only as a repository of teaching materials but also as an evaluative instrument that can monitor student participation and progress granularly. Meanwhile, SIAKAD reforms the academic service system by providing integrated, transparent, and synchronously accessible data to various stakeholders (Nurdiati & Setiawati, 2025).

Furthermore, the hybrid learning model implies the need for dualistic educational management, synergistically managing both face-to-face and online learning. In practice, managing hybrid learning requires flexible yet systematic policy development, including adaptive curriculum design, dynamic scheduling, the development of a multimodal evaluation system, and the provision of technical and pedagogical support for educators and students (Ainia et al., 2025). This is where the role of digital management systems becomes vital, as they enable effective, sustainable, and empirically data-driven cross-channel learning orchestration.

Functionally, digitalization enables management transformation from a bureaucratic approach to evidence-based policy. The use of learning analytics and educational data mining allows for in-depth analysis of student learning behavior, the effectiveness of teaching interventions, and the efficient use of educational resources. Through this data-driven management system, policymakers at the institutional level can quickly and accurately adjust learning strategies, strengthen the differentiation of educational services, and increase the institution's responsiveness to student needs across various learning contexts (Ruhendi et al., 2025; Aini, 2025).

Equally important, this transformation also strengthens the collaborative dimension of education management through the use of communication platforms such as Google Workspace for Education, Microsoft Teams, and education-based instant messaging applications. The interconnectedness between teachers, students, parents, and education managers through digital media creates an inclusive and participatory management system. Interactions that were once limited to the classroom now thrive in an open, two-way, and documented virtual space, supporting collective decision-making and ongoing monitoring and evaluation.

However, implementing digital-based management in a hybrid context is not without challenges. Disparities in technology access, disparities in digital literacy among teachers and students, and resistance to organizational cultural change are significant inhibiting factors. Furthermore, complex hybrid systems require clear managerial regulations, rigorous quality control, and ongoing training to ensure technology integration is not merely symbolic (Gulliksen et al., 2023; Clifftt & Assiouras) but truly supports meaningful learning. Within this framework, a transformational strategy is needed to build comprehensive organizational readiness, across technical, structural, and cultural dimensions.

As a consequence of these dynamics, educational management must position digital transformation as an integral component of the institution's long-term vision. This means that investment

DOI: https://doi.org/10.62872/66y2j952

in digital infrastructure must be accompanied by strengthening human resource capacity and establishing governance that adapts to technological disruption. In a hybrid context, a successful management strategy is one that holistically bridges the needs of online and offline learning, ensuring the sustainability of educational quality without losing the pedagogical essence of the learning process itself (Mohammed Hashim et al., 2022).

Overall, the digitalization of education management in a hybrid context not only represents an adaptation to change but also a key driver for the reconstruction of future education systems. Through the implementation of integrated, data-driven digital management systems, educational institutions can improve governance effectiveness, expand access to learning, and strengthen public accountability for the quality of education services. Thus, this transformation has the potential to create a more resilient, inclusive, and 21st-century learning ecosystem.

#### 2. Challenges of Implementing Digitalization in Educational Management

Digitalization in education management has become inevitable amidst the global transformation driven by information technology. However, its implementation in various educational contexts still faces various structural, cultural, and epistemological challenges. One fundamental issue lies in the gap in technological infrastructure, with significant disparities between urban and rural areas. Access to a stable internet network, the availability of hardware and software, and electrical power capacity are prerequisites that have not been met evenly. These limitations trigger disparities in the quality of education governance, with schools in underdeveloped areas tending to experience delays in adopting effective and efficient digital-based management systems (Sihotang, 2025).

In addition to physical constraints, human resource capacity is also a significant inhibiting factor. Low digital literacy among educational and managerial staff demonstrates weak institutional readiness to operate digital tools and systems such as Learning Management Systems (LMS), Educational Management Information Systems (SIM-PK), or online-based evaluation platforms. Non-adaptive training curricula, a lack of ongoing training, and the dominance of a transmission approach to human resource capacity building hinder the digitalization process due to a lack of internal competency readiness (Rhendica & Budianto, 2024). This phenomenon is exacerbated by a generational gap, where senior educators tend to struggle to adapt to the dynamic and innovation-driven demands of digitalization.

Obstacles to digitalization are also closely related to resistance to change within educational organizational structures. Digitalization, as a representation of systemic disruption, is often met with skepticism and fear of changing the status quo. In many cases, digital transformation is perceived as a threat to traditional decision-making authority and as a new administrative burden that is not accompanied by adequate compensation or support. The imbalance between top-down digitalization policies and operational realities at the educational unit level creates an epistemic distance between policymakers and field implementers, leading to implicit resistance to digital innovation (Maisaroh, 2023).

The issue of data security and digital ethics is another complex dimension in the context of technology-based education management. Reliance on online platforms and the digitization of student data opens up the potential for privacy breaches, data hacking, and system manipulation. Educational institutions, particularly at the elementary and secondary levels, often lack comprehensive protocols regarding personal data protection and ethical technology use (Amelia, 2023). The unequal understanding of the Personal Data Protection Law (PDP Law 2022) indicates a regulatory and normative gap that impacts weak digital security governance in education. In this context, the ethical aspect is not only technical but also philosophical: how education, as an institution of value, must ensure the fair, safe, and responsible use of technology.

The challenges of implementing digitalization also vary significantly by geographic context and educational level. In urban areas, issues are more complex in terms of system integration, interoperability of management applications, and managing fragmented educational big data. Conversely, in underdeveloped regions, the main challenges still revolve around basic infrastructure and fostering digital awareness. Meanwhile, at the primary education level, the focus of digitalization is often directed more towards administrative aspects such as attendance and archiving, while at the secondary and tertiary levels, the burden of digitalization increases to evaluative aspects, public communication, and academic performance reporting. These variations require contextually differentiated policies, rather than simply a generalized national approach.

From a policy perspective, government intervention has been implemented through various programs such as School Digitalization, Freedom to Learn, and the development of an integrated education management information system. However, the effectiveness of these policies is often hampered by weak implementation on the ground. Lack of synergy between institutions, weak monitoring and evaluation mechanisms, and limited technical capacity at the educational unit level often result in these policies remaining merely administrative. This gap between regulation and reality reflects the fact that digitalization policies have not fully accommodated the socio-technical complexities of educational institutions in Indonesia, particularly those in marginalized areas.

From a theoretical perspective, these challenges reflect the importance of a systemic and adaptive approach to the digitalization of education management. Open systems theory emphasizes the crucial interaction between educational organizations and the external environment, including the dynamics of technology, regulations, and local culture. Therefore, digitalization implementation strategies must go beyond the technical dimension to strengthen institutional capacity, reform organizational culture, and create an inclusive and sustainable digital ecosystem. Active engagement with local stakeholders, adaptive policy decentralization, and cross-sector partnerships are key to overcoming fragmentation and ensuring that digitalization becomes more than just a slogan but a transformative instrument for education governance (Utubira & Pangeti, 2025).

Thus, the implementation of digitalization in education management is not merely a technical or administrative issue, but rather a complex and multidimensional social change project. Its success is largely determined by the extent to which public policies are able to build equitable access, empower human resource capacity, and shape a reflective and ethical digital culture. In this context, educational digitalization needs to be positioned as an integral part of holistic human development, not merely an instrument of efficiency, but a tool for collective emancipation and transformation.

## 3. Strategic Opportunities and Technology-Based Managerial Innovation

In an era of increasingly massive digital transformation, educational management is required to adapt to the dynamics of changes in information and communication technology to improve the quality of educational governance. Digitalization is not simply a technological phenomenon, but rather a new paradigm that demands systemic changes in the way educational institutions manage resources, design policies, and deliver educational services (Hasanah et al., 2024). Amidst this complexity, various strategic opportunities emerge that educational management can capitalize on, including optimizing the use of digital technology to increase efficiency, effectiveness, transparency, and institutional accountability.

One highly promising opportunity is the use of analytical technology to support evidence-based decision-making. Through an integrated education management information system, data can be collected in real time and processed to map trends in academic performance, student behavior, learning effectiveness, and operational efficiency (Fentyrina & Mardi, 2025). Thus, managerial decisions are no longer based solely on assumptions or intuition but are instead grounded in verified empirical evidence. Technologies such as big data analytics, machine learning, and predictive modeling have the potential to strengthen the managerial role in developing adaptive, inclusive, and proactive educational interventions.

Furthermore, a digital-based reporting system presents a new dimension to education governance, where reporting is not only periodic but also occurs simultaneously and automatically. The existence of real-time reporting enables cross-sectoral oversight, from principals, supervisors, and education offices, to accurately monitor school performance and dynamics. This not only accelerates the reporting and evaluation process but also minimizes the risk of data manipulation, while increasing public accountability for budget use and performance achievement (Faridah, 2025). The integration of the Learning Management System (LMS), Academic Information System (SIAKAD), and Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) in education is a concrete representation of a superior digital-based reporting model.

Similarly, digitalization also strengthens the principle of transparency in education delivery. By using blockchain technology or cloud-based digital tracking systems, educational institutions can provide open access to academic, financial, and internal policy information for all stakeholders (Ahn et al., 2022). This transparency is a crucial foundation for building public trust and encouraging active community participation in supporting the success of educational institutions. Moreover, teacher and

DOI: https://doi.org/10.62872/66y2j952

educational staff performance accountability can now be measured more objectively through evaluation dashboards based on performance indicators and digital feedback.

On the other hand, technology also offers significant potential for building synergy between schools, parents, and communities. Through various digital applications such as Google Classroom, Edmodo, or parent portal-based school communication systems, parental involvement in their children's learning process can be significantly increased. This collaboration becomes more dynamic, responsive, and sustainable because it is supported by a real-time and documented two-way communication system. In this context, technology acts as a medium that bridges the information disparity between educational actors and strengthens social control over the quality of educational services provided by schools.

The opportunity for managerial innovation is also evident in the implementation of hybrid learning models, which require flexibility, creativity, and strong system integration. Innovative schools such as SMA Labschool Jakarta, Sekolah Cikal, and SMK Negeri in Central Java have successfully utilized internal digital platforms to support blended learning that synergistically combines online and offline activities. This approach not only improves learning efficiency but also allows for personalized learning processes tailored to students' learning styles. From a management perspective, the success of hybrid learning is largely determined by the technological competence of school leaders and their ability to build a structured and sustainable digital ecosystem.

The success of managerial innovation in the context of digitalization is closely linked to the digital leadership style adopted by school leaders. Principals are required to be agents of change who not only understand the dynamics of technology but also possess a transformational vision for building a digital work culture within their institutions. A participatory, collaborative, and adaptive leadership model is key to driving innovation and accommodating the need for human resource capacity development, particularly in digital literacy, data management, and technology-based learning.

However, this strategic opportunity is not without complex challenges, such as limited infrastructure, unequal internet access, and resistance to change. However, it is precisely within these challenges that context-based local innovation emerges. Schools in areas with limited connectivity are developing alternative approaches such as offline LMSs, flash drive-based digital modules, or utilizing SMS gateways for reporting and communication. This approach demonstrates that digitalization does not have to be uniform but can be developed adaptively according to the needs and capabilities of each educational institution.

#### Conclusion

The transformation of education management in the digital era indicates a paradigm shift from conventional bureaucratic models to more adaptive, collaborative, and information technology-based systems, which not only streamline administrative processes but also reconstruct the way educational institutions operate structurally and culturally. Digitalization presents strategic opportunities for datadriven decision-making, enhanced transparency, and the development of more inclusive and participatory education services. The implementation of managerial information systems such as LMS, SIAKAD, and ERP enables real-time governance that is responsive to the dynamics of hybrid learning. However, the complexity of this transformation demands institutional readiness that includes increasing human resource capacity, establishing a sustainable digital ecosystem, and visionary and participatory leadership. Implementation challenges remain very real, including infrastructure gaps, disparities in digital literacy, and resistance to change at the organizational level. On the other hand, opportunities for local innovation through a contextual approach demonstrate that digital adaptation does not always have to be uniform but can be solution-oriented according to geographic conditions and educational levels. Flexible, evidence-based, and context-sensitive managerial strategies are crucial in bridging online and offline learning. In this context, digitalization is not merely a tool for administrative efficiency, but rather an instrument of pedagogical transformation oriented toward emancipation and empowerment. Therefore, digitalization must be understood as a systemic process that demands cross-sector integration and multi-resource collaboration. Successful digital education management is one that is able to orchestrate all elements of technology, people, and policy harmoniously and visionarily. Ultimately, digitalization in education management is not only a response to changing times, but also a strategic investment in shaping a resilient, equitable, and high-quality 21st-century education ecosystem

## **Bibliography**

- Ahn, S., Kim, K. S., & Lee, K. H. (2022). Technological capabilities, entrepreneurship and innovation of technology-based start-ups: The resource-based view. Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity, 8(3), 156.
- Aini, K. Q. R. (2025). Transformasi Perpustakaan Sekolah di Era Digital: Dari Gudang Buku Menuju Pusat Sumber Belajar Hybrid dan Kolaboratif. An-Nashru: Jurnal Bimbingan Dan Konseling Pendidikan Islam, 3(1), 185-204.
- Ainia, B., Anatasya, D. K., Putri, I. R., Jumiati, J., & Saputra, B. (2025). Model Hybrid Bureaucracy dalam Transformasi Digital Pelayanan Publik: Studi Komparatif Urban-Rural di Indonesia Pasca-Pandemi (2020–2025). Katalis Pendidikan: Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan dan Matematika, 2(3), 76-83.
- Amelia, U. (2023). Tantangan pembelajaran era society 5.0 dalam perspektif manajemen pendidikan. Al-Marsus: Jurnal Manajemen Pendidikan Islam, 1(1), 68-82.
- Aulia, N., Harahap, U. H., Silitonga, N. E., Sihite, M. L., & Ketaren, M. A. (2025). Tantangan Dan Strategi Manajemen Kurikulum Di Era Digital: Studi Literatur Untuk Inovasi Pendidikan. Jurnal Intelek Insan Cendikia, 2(5), 10283-10302.
- Clifft, S., & Assiouras, I. (2023). The transformation of post pandemic hybrid teaching and learning through experiences of remote digital learning in French business schools. Innovations in Education and Teaching International, 60(6), 810-823.
- Faridah, I. (2025). Tantangan dan Peluang Manajemen Pendidikan Islam di Era Digital. J-CEKI: Jurnal Cendekia Ilmiah, 4(4), 69-81.
- Fentyrina, A., & Mardi, M. (2025). Transformasi digital dalam manajemen pendidikan: Tantangan dan peluang di era pendidikan 5.0. Journal Scientific of Mandalika (JSM) e-ISSN 2745-5955 p-ISSN 2809-0543, 6(3), 494-501.
- Gulliksen, J., Lilliesköld, J., & Stenbom, S. (2023). The 'new'new normal—digitalization and hybridization of work and education before, during and after the Covid-19 pandemic. Interacting with Computers, 35(5), 691-706.
- Hasanah, A. U., Andaryani, S., Sari, F. H., Dwikurniawati, I. U., & Lestari, D. P. (2024). Inovasi pelayanan publik berbasis teknologi digital: Tantangan dan peluang di pemerintah daerah. INNOVATIVE: Journal Of Social Science Research, 4(5), 5228-5235.
- Ilhami, I., Fadil, M. S. R., Ferina, R., & Assabilla, S. A. (2024). Analisis Tantangan dan Peluang yang dihadapi Perpustakaan di Era Digital. Blantika: Multidisciplinary Journal, 3(2).
- Legi, H., Van Hoten, Y., & Sihombing, E. (2025). Strategi Manajemen Pendidikan dalam Meningkatkan Kualitas Pembelajaran di Era Digital. Veritas et Educatio: Jurnal Teologi dan Pendidikan Kristiani, 1(1), 19-34.
- Maisaroh, I. (2023). Transformasi Manajemen Pendidikan di Madrasah Ibtidaiyah dalam Menghadapi Era Digital. Jurnal Ilmu Tarbiyah, 2(2), 65-72.
- Mohamed Hashim, M. A., Tlemsani, I., & Matthews, R. (2022). Higher education strategy in digital transformation. Education and information technologies, 27(3), 3171-3195.
- Mubarok, M. S. (2024). Manajemen strategi sekolah berbasis mutu di era digital: Menghadapi tantangan dan memanfaatkan peluang. Dialektika Jurnal Pendidikan, 8(2), 554-566.
- Nurdiati, Y., & Setiawati, E. (2025). Transformasi Manajemen Pendidikan di Era Digital:: Tantangan dan Peluang untuk Meningkatkan Kualitas Pembelajaran. Indonesian Research Journal on Education, 5(1), 2680-2685.
- Pucciarelli, F., & Kaplan, A. (2022). Transition to a hybrid teaching model as a step forward toward responsible management education?. Journal of Global Responsibility, 13(1), 7-20.

- Rambe, K. F. (2024). Strategi manajemen pendidik di era digitalisasi dalam meningkatkan kualitas pendidikan di SMAN 1 NA IX-X. Multatuli: Jurnal Multidisiplin Ilmu, 1(1), 1-21.
- Rhendica, R., & Budianto, K. (2024). Transformasi Manajemen Pendidika Transformasi Manajemen Pendidikan Islam di Era Digital: Peran dan Tantangan Kecerdasan Buatan (AI). Borneo Journal of Islamic Education, 4(2), 203-217.
- Ruhendi, E., Syukron, A., & Raharjo, M. (2025). Inovasi Manajemen Sarana dan Prasarana PAUD dalam Menghadapi Era Pendidikan Hybrid: Analisis Kebutuhan dan Implementasi: Penelitian. Jurnal Pengabdian Masyarakat dan Riset Pendidikan, 3(4), 1550-1556.
- Sahin, M. (2022). The Problems and Opportunities of Hybrid Education for School Management. Education Quarterly Reviews, 5(4), 96-103.
- Sihotang, D. H. (2025). Urgensi Manajemen Pendidikan dalam Menghadapi Era Digitalisasi. JIIP-Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu Pendidikan, 8(3), 2536-2541.
- Utubira, E. E. M., & Pangeti, J. (2025). REFORMULASI MANAJEMEN PENDIDIKAN ERA DIGITALISASI: KAJIAN IMPLEMENTASI LEARNING MANAGEMENT SYSTEM DI LINGKUNGAN PENDIDIKAN. PEDAGOGIKA: Jurnal Pedagogik dan Dinamika Pendidikan, 13(1), 314-326.
- Wahyudi, N. G., & Jatun, J. (2024). Integrasi Teknologi dalam Pendidikan: Tantangan dan Peluang Pembelajaran Digital di Sekolah Dasar. Indonesian Research Journal on Education, 4(4), 444-451.