

Fraud Auditing in The Digital Age : Data Analytics-Based Fraud Prevention and Detection

Revita Dwi Aviani Pagiling¹, Muhandis Difa'iy Aziz²

Universitas Kristen Indonesia Paulus, Indonesia¹, Universitas Islam Negeri Sultan Sunan Kalijaga, Indonesia²
Email : revitapagiling@gmail.com

Article history:

Received: 2025-11-01

Revised: 2025-11-30

Accepted: 2025-12-20

Accepted : 2025-12-30

✉ Corresponding Author:

E-mail: revitapagiling@gmail.com

Abstract

This study evaluates the fraud phenomenon in the digital era and the role of data analytics in fraud prevention and detection through a literature review. Digital transformation has created an increasingly complex fraud risk environment, where traditional audit methods are limited in capturing anomalies scattered across large volumes of data. Data analytics integrated with digital techniques such as machine learning, big data analytics, and forensic auditing offer new opportunities to strengthen the effectiveness of fraud audits. The reviewed literature demonstrates the ability of data-driven techniques to identify suspicious patterns, improve detection accuracy, and support preventive audit functions. While technology expands detection capacity, challenges such as limited auditor competency, data security issues, and the need for strong governance still require critical attention. This literature review approach integrates empirical and conceptual research findings from indexed scientific publications, providing a comprehensive overview of the development of data analytics in modern fraud audits. The research findings emphasize that the integration of technology and audit professionalism will be a key strategy for addressing fraud risks in the evolving digital era. The references used include recent academic studies from the past five years from various related disciplines.

Keywords: Digital Fraud Audit; Data analysis; Fraud Detection

1. Introduction

The development of digital technology has significantly transformed the operational and financial reporting systems of modern organizations. Business processes previously performed manually now rely on automated and integrated, data-driven systems. These changes increase efficiency, but also create new opportunities for the emergence of more complex fraud risks. Fraud is no longer limited to the manipulation of physical documents, but has evolved into the exploitation of system logic and digital control gaps. Fraud patterns tend to be hidden within large transaction volumes and occur repeatedly over short periods of time (Kayed et al., 2025). These conditions complicate early identification when relying solely on conventional audit procedures. Therefore, the digital era demands a fraud audit approach that is more adaptive to new risk characteristics.

As the complexity of digital transactions increases, auditors are challenged by the limitations of traditional audit methods. Sampling-based approaches are no longer adequate to detect anomalies scattered among millions of transaction data points. Many fraud indicators are non-linear and do not follow visible patterns. Compliance-oriented audits tend to be retrospective and less responsive to the dynamics of digital risk (Mahmud et al., 2022; Pachapakesan et al., 2025). As a result, potential fraud is often identified only after significant losses have already occurred. The gap between digital fraud risks and the audit techniques used weakens the effectiveness of the oversight function. This situation underscores the urgency of developing a more analytics-based audit approach.

The need for new approaches drives the use of data analytics in fraud audits. Data analytics allows the examination of entire transaction populations without relying on sampling techniques. By processing large amounts of data, auditors can identify anomalous patterns that are difficult to detect manually. Analytical techniques can uncover hidden relationships between variables that could potentially indicate fraud. This approach improves the accuracy and speed of risk detection. Furthermore, data analytics provides a stronger empirical basis for audit risk assessment. Thus, data analytics is becoming a strategic tool in transforming fraud audits.

The use of data analytics also shifts the audit's orientation from a detective function to a preventive one. Auditors can build predictive models to identify potential fraud before losses escalate. Historical transaction patterns are used as a basis for systematically mapping deviant behavior. This approach enables the continuous strengthening of internal control systems. Fraud prevention becomes more effective because it is based on measurable, quantitative evidence (Wang et al., 2022; Hossain et al., 2025). Audit no longer



acts as a final check, but as an early warning mechanism. This role transformation expands the audit's added value to the organization.

However, implementing data analytics-based audits is not without various technical and conceptual challenges. Data quality is a crucial factor determining the accuracy of analytical results. Incomplete or biased data can potentially lead to misleading conclusions. Furthermore, the complexity of algorithms can complicate auditors' interpretation of results. The risk of overreliance on analytical systems can also undermine auditor professionalism and skepticism; these challenges require a balance between technology use and professional judgment (Lamey et al., 2024; Yan et al., 2022). Therefore, a data analytics-based fraud audit framework must be carefully designed.

Changes in audit approaches also impact auditor competency requirements. Auditors are required to have a sufficient understanding of information systems and data structures. Data literacy is an essential skill in conducting modern fraud audits. Analytical skills are not only technical but also conceptual in interpreting risk patterns. Without adequate competency, analytical technology risks being used mechanically without substantive understanding. This can reduce audit effectiveness, even with the support of advanced technology. Therefore, auditor capacity development is a key element in digital audits.

In addition to competency aspects, ethical and governance dimensions are also important concerns in data analytics-based audits. Large-scale data use raises privacy and information security issues. Auditors must ensure that data utilization aligns with ethical principles and applicable regulations. Transparency of analytical methods is necessary to maintain accountability for audit results. Reliance on algorithms requires oversight of potential system bias. Without adequate controls, technology can create new risks in the audit process. Therefore, digital audit governance needs to be designed comprehensively.

Based on these dynamics, fraud audits in the digital era require a comprehensive paradigm shift. Data analytics serves not only as a tool but also as a key foundation in fraud audit strategies. Integration of technology, auditor competency, and an ethical framework is crucial for the success of this approach. Data analytics-based audits strengthen prevention capabilities while increasing the accuracy of fraud detection. This approach is relevant to the risk characteristics of modern, increasingly data-driven organizations. Therefore, data analytics-based fraud audits are a strategic necessity, not simply a methodological choice. This transformation reflects the audit's adaptation to the evolving realities of the digital economy.

2. Methodology

This study uses a qualitative approach with a literature review to analyze the development of fraud auditing in the digital era, particularly as it relates to data-based fraud prevention and detection. The qualitative approach was chosen because it allows researchers to deeply understand the concepts, theoretical frameworks, and dynamics of fraud auditing practices that have evolved alongside digital transformation. A literature review is used as the primary strategy to examine relevant academic thinking and empirical findings without conducting primary data collection. This method is appropriate for examining the conceptual, multidisciplinary, and evolving issue of digital auditing. The research focuses on the meanings, patterns, and trends emerging in the scientific literature. Thus, this study emphasizes a critical analysis of existing knowledge.

The research data sources were derived from reputable national and international scientific journal articles discussing fraud auditing, data analysis, forensic accounting, and digital auditing. The literature was selected from academic databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, Google Scholar, and accredited national journal portals. Inclusion criteria included articles published within the last five to seven years to ensure relevance to the latest technological developments. The articles used must have a clear focus on fraud auditing or the application of data analysis in the audit process. Non-scientific sources and publications without peer review were excluded from the analysis. The literature selection process was carried out systematically to maintain the quality and credibility of the data.

The research phase begins with topic identification and the formulation of the study's focus based on fraud audit issues in the digital era. Next, a literature search is conducted using relevant keywords such as fraud audit, data analytics in auditing, digital fraud detection, and audit transformation. The obtained articles are then selected based on their abstracts and their relevance to the research objectives. The selected literature is thoroughly read to identify key concepts, methods, and key findings. This phase aims to build a comprehensive understanding of the data analytics-based fraud audit approach. This process is carried out iteratively to ensure the completeness of the study.

Data analysis was conducted using thematic analysis techniques, grouping literature findings into relevant conceptual themes. The themes analyzed included the characteristics of digital fraud, the limitations of traditional auditing, the role of data analytics in fraud detection, and implications for auditor competence. Each theme was analyzed comparatively to identify similarities, differences, and trends in thinking across researchers. This approach enabled a more structured and systematic synthesis of knowledge. Interpretations were made taking into account the context of technological developments and contemporary audit practices. The results of the analysis were presented narratively and argumentatively.

Data validity was maintained through source triangulation, comparing findings from various articles and journals. The use of literature from diverse contexts and methodological approaches aimed to minimize interpretation bias. Furthermore, the analysis was conducted critically, assessing the strength of the arguments and the contribution of each source to the research topic. Transparency in the literature selection and analysis process was crucial to maintaining the credibility of the research. This approach strengthened the conceptual validity of the study's findings. Thus, the research findings have a strong academic foundation.

Through this qualitative literature review method, this research is expected to provide a comprehensive understanding of the transformation of fraud auditing in the digital era. This study not only summarizes previous findings but also develops a relevant conceptual framework for data analysis-based auditing practices. The research findings are expected to serve as a reference for academics and audit practitioners in responding to the challenges of digital fraud. Furthermore, this research contributes to the development of scientific discourse on modern fraud auditing. The method used allows for in-depth exploration of complex and dynamic issues. Therefore, this approach aligns with the objectives and focus of this research.

3. Results and Discussion

Transformation of Fraud Characteristics and Audit Challenges in the Digital Era

The development of digital technology has significantly changed the nature of fraud in modern organizations. Fraud is no longer dominated by manual manipulation, but has evolved into the exploitation of information systems and digital data flows. The integration of financial and operational systems accelerates transaction processes while increasing the complexity of risks. Fraud patterns are becoming increasingly difficult to detect because they are disguised within seemingly legitimate transactions. The sheer scale of data increases the opportunity for repeated fraud, often small in value but with cumulative impact. These changes require a new understanding of the nature of audit risk (Anthony et al., 2023). Fraud audits face a very different reality than in the era of manual systems.

These changing characteristics of fraud impact the effectiveness of current audit approaches. Traditional audit procedures are designed for environments with limited transaction volumes and simple data structures. Reliance on sampling techniques can potentially miss thinly distributed indications of fraud. Digital fraud often appears as minor anomalies that are difficult to detect through conventional testing (Muazah et al., 2024). An audit orientation that emphasizes compliance narrows the scope for analysis of deviant behavior patterns. This creates a gap between actual risks and oversight mechanisms. These challenges highlight the need to redefine the fraud audit approach.

As digital systems increase in complexity, fraud risks also become more dynamic and adaptive. Fraudsters exploit weaknesses in system logic and gaps in technology-based internal controls. Fraud methods evolve in response to changes in organizational systems and regulations. This reliance on technology creates new vulnerabilities that auditors are not always aware of. Fraud risks are no longer static but continually transform. This situation makes it difficult for auditors to rely on routine audit procedures. Therefore, fraud audits require an approach that can respond to the dynamics of digital risk.

These conditions have led to increased expectations regarding the role of auditors. Auditors are expected to identify fraud risks early, rather than simply confirming past events. The audit function is shifting from historical audits to risk-based oversight, and fraud risk assessments are becoming more complex due to the involvement of technological factors and system behavior (Mohd Razali et al., 2025). Auditors are required to understand the relationship between data, processes, and potential irregularities. Without a comprehensive understanding, fraud risks can potentially be overlooked. This shift in expectations positions fraud auditing as a strategic organizational function.

These dynamics demonstrate that fraud audits in the digital age face multidimensional challenges. System complexity, data volume, and the evolution of fraud methods increase audit uncertainty. Traditional approaches increasingly struggle to provide adequate assurance. The risk of fraud detection failure increases if not balanced with methodological innovation. These challenges are structural and cannot be resolved through minor procedural adjustments. Fraud audits require a more fundamental paradigm shift. This underpins the need for data analytics integration in fraud audits.

The Role of Data Analytics in Fraud Detection and Prevention

Data analytics emerged as a response to the limitations of conventional audits in addressing digital fraud risks. This approach allows the examination of entire transaction populations without relying on sampling. Utilizing large-scale data significantly expands the scope of audits. Complex transaction patterns can be systematically analyzed through analytical techniques. Auditors gain the ability to identify anomalies that were previously difficult to detect (Prayitno & Sinosi, 2024). A data-driven approach strengthens the empirical basis for risk assessment. Therefore, data analytics is becoming a new foundation for modern fraud audits.

The application of data analytics enables auditors to uncover hidden fraud patterns. Relationships between transaction variables can be analyzed to detect deviant behavior. Analytical techniques help identify unusual transactions that exceed normal limits. Fraud indications no longer rely solely on intuition but on measurable statistical patterns (Rustam et al., 2023; Razaque et al., 2022). This approach enhances the objectivity of the audit process. Furthermore, data analytics accelerates the risk identification process. Speed of detection is a crucial factor in minimizing the impact of fraud.

Another advantage of data analysis lies in its ability to support prevention. Predictive models enable auditors to anticipate potential fraud before it occurs. Historical data is used to systematically map risk trends. This information can be used to strengthen internal controls. Audits serve as an early warning mechanism for management. Fraud prevention becomes more effective because it is based on quantitative evidence, and the audit role has expanded from a detective function to a preventive one (Bangun et al., 2024; Nuraisiah et al., 2025).

Despite its numerous benefits, data analytics also presents challenges. Data quality is a key determinant of the accuracy of analytical results. Incomplete or inconsistent data can potentially lead to erroneous conclusions. The complexity of analytical techniques demands a thorough understanding from auditors. The risk of misinterpretation increases if auditors do not understand the logic of the models used (Gkegkas et

al., 2025). Overreliance on analytical systems can also reduce professional skepticism. These challenges require caution in the use of data analytics.

Therefore, data analysis should be positioned as a tool to support audit decisions, not a substitute for professional judgment. Integration of technology and auditor judgment is key to effective fraud audits. The auditor remains responsible for the audit's interpretation and conclusions. Data analysis enriches the auditor's perspective on fraud risks. This approach improves the quality and relevance of audit results. Proper implementation can strengthen the audit's role in organizational governance. Thus, data analysis becomes a strategic element in digital fraud audits.

Implications of Data Analysis-Based Audits on Competence and Governance

The implementation of data analytics-based fraud audits has significant implications for auditor competency. Auditors can no longer rely solely on accounting knowledge and auditing standards. Understanding information systems and data structures has become essential. Data literacy enables auditors to understand the sources and characteristics of the information being analyzed. Analytical skills support the interpretation of complex risk patterns. Without these competencies, technology cannot be optimally utilized. This change requires continuous auditor capacity development (Nugrahanti et al., 2023).

In addition to technical competence, auditors are also required to possess strong conceptual skills. Data analysis results require interpretation aligned with audit objectives. Auditors must be able to link analytical findings to relevant fraud risks; understanding business processes is crucial in assessing the significance of anomalies (Chaqiqi & Nugroho, 2021). Without an adequate conceptual framework, analytical results are at risk of being misinterpreted. This competency strengthens the auditor's role as a risk analyst, making fraud auditing a more critical-thinking process.

Changes in audit approaches also impact ethical and governance aspects. The use of large amounts of data raises privacy and information security issues. Auditors are responsible for ensuring data use complies with applicable regulations. Transparency of analytical methods is crucial for maintaining audit accountability (Balios et al., 2020; Hezam et al., 2023). Reliance on algorithms requires oversight of potential system bias. Audit governance must be able to manage technological risks. Ethical aspects are an integral part of digital fraud audits.

Governance implications also relate to the organization's role in supporting data-driven audits. Adequate technology infrastructure is a prerequisite for the success of this approach. Organizations need to provide reliable and integrated data access. Internal policies must support the use of data analytics in audits. Collaboration between auditors and information technology units is becoming increasingly important. Management support determines the effectiveness of audit transformation. Data-driven fraud audits require a comprehensive organizational commitment.

These implications demonstrate the multidimensional nature of data analytics-based fraud audits. Transformation occurs not only in audit methods but also in competencies, ethics, and governance. Audit becomes a strategic function that contributes to organizational risk prevention. A data-driven approach strengthens audit capacity in the face of digital complexity. Successful implementation depends on the integration of various supporting elements. Fraud audits are no longer simply a compliance activity. This transformation reflects audit's adaptation to the evolving realities of the digital economy.

4. Conclusion

Based on the discussion outlined, fraud audits in the digital era face increasingly complex and dynamic changes in risk characteristics. The digitalization of business processes increases efficiency while expanding opportunities for system- and data-based fraud. Traditional audit approaches have proven limited in detecting hidden fraud patterns within large transaction volumes. This situation drives the need for data analytics integration as a new foundation in fraud audits. Data analytics enables a comprehensive examination of transaction populations and more accurate identification of anomalies. This approach strengthens the role of audits not only as a detection tool but also as a risk prevention mechanism. The implementation of data analytics-based audits requires increased auditor competency in data literacy and an understanding of information systems. In addition to technical aspects, professional judgment and conceptual frameworks remain crucial elements in interpreting analytical results. Ethical and governance implications become key concerns as the use of data in the audit process increases. Adequate organizational support and technological infrastructure determine the effectiveness of fraud audit transformation. Data analytics-based audits represent a paradigm shift toward more proactive and risk-based oversight. Thus, fraud audit transformation is a strategic necessity in responding to the challenges of the evolving digital economy.

References

Anthony, C. A. F., Gaol, W. N. A. L., Purba, H. N. N., Raudina, H. C., & Maulana, A. (2023). Peranan Audit Internal dalam Pengendalian Fraud di Era Digital. *Accounting Student Research Journal*, 2(1), 31-45.

Balios, D., Kotsilaras, P., Eriotis, N., & Vasiliou, D. (2020). Big data, data analytics and external auditing. *Journal of Modern Accounting and Auditing*, 16(5), 211-219.

Bangun, D. F. B., Hulu, Y. Y. H., Laia, M., Handayani, P., Rezeki, S. I., Pangga, I. D., & Aliah, N. (2024). Peran Audit Internal Dalam Upaya Pencegahan Fraud. *Jurnal Bisnis Mahasiswa*, 4(1), 88-97.

Chaqiqi, A., & Nugroho, A. (2021). Readiness analysis of data analytics audit implementation in inspectorate general of the ministry of finance: An Indonesian case. *The Indonesian Journal of*

Accounting Research, 24(2), 147-162.

Gkegkas, M., Kydros, D., & Pazarskis, M. (2025). Using data analytics in financial statement fraud detection and prevention: A systematic review of methods, challenges, and future directions. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 18(11), 598.

Hezam, Y. A., Anthony Samy, L., & Suppiah, S. D. K. (2023). Big data analytics and auditing: A review and synthesis of literature. *Emerging Science Journal*, 7(2), 629-642.

Hossain MN, Hidayat-ur-Rehman I, Bhuiyan AB, Salleh HM (2025;), "Evaluating the influence of IT governance, Fintech adoption, and financial literacy on sustainable performance". *Studies in Economics and Finance*, Vol. ahead-of-print No. ahead-of-print. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SEF-10-2024-0672>

Kayed, S., Alta'any, M., Meqbel, R., Khatatbeh, I. N., & Mahafzah, A. (2025). Bank FinTech and bank performance: evidence from an emerging market. *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting*, 23(2), 518-535.

Lamey, Y. M., Tawfik, O. I., Durrah, O., & Elmaasrawy, H. E. (2024). Fintech adoption and banks' Non-Financial performance: do circular economy practices matter?. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 17(8), 319.

Mahmud, K., Joarder, M. M. A., & Muheymin-Us-Sakib, K. (2022). Adoption factors of FinTech: evidence from an emerging economy country-wide representative sample. *International Journal of Financial Studies*, 11(1), 9.

Mohd Razali, F., Sulaiman, N., Abdul Manan, D. I., & Said, J. (2025). Sustainability of Audit Profession in Digital Technology Era: The Role of Competencies and Digital Technology Capabilities to Detect Fraud Risk. *SAGE Open*, 15(1), 21582440241304974.

Muazah, A. T., Sumarni, A., & Rahmatika, D. N. (2024). Pentingnya Audit Internal dan Implementasi Teknologi untuk Mencegah Fraud di Era Transformasi Digital. *MUQADDIMAH: Jurnal Ekonomi, Manajemen, Akuntansi dan Bisnis*, 2(3), 154-168.

Nugrahanti, T. P., Sudarmanto, E., Bakri, A. A., Susanto, E., & Male, S. R. (2023). Pengaruh Penerapan Teknologi Big Data, Independensi Auditor, dan Kualitas Pelaporan Keuangan terhadap Efektivitas Proses Audit. *Sanskara Akuntansi dan Keuangan*, 2(01), 47-54.

Nuraisyah, R., Atmaja, S., & Putra, A. P. A. (2025). Analisis peran audit internal dalam upaya pencegahan fraud. *Jurnal Manajemen dan Bisnis*, 7(2), 150-160.

Panchapakesan, A., Anandaram, H., Sridevi, L., Sathish, K. M., Dhivya, P., Parameswari, S., ... & Kapadia, H. (2025). Enhancing audit effectiveness through strategic data analytics. In *Machine Learning and Modeling Techniques in Financial Data Science* (pp. 231-252). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

Prayitno, A., & Sinisi, S. M. (2024). Peran Pengendalian Internal Berbasis Teknologi Dalam Mendukung Akuntansi Forensik Untuk Mendeteksi Fraud Di Era Digital. *Economics and Digital Business Review*, 5(2), 600-608.

Razaque, A., Frej, M. B. H., Bektemissova, G., Amsaad, F., Almiani, M., Alotaibi, A., ... & Alshammari, M. (2022). Credit card-not-present fraud detection and prevention using big data analytics algorithms. *Applied Sciences*, 13(1), 57.

Rustam, M. H., Hamler, H., Marlina, T., Handoko, D., & Alamsyah, R. (2023). Peran dan tanggung jawab konsumen untuk mencegah praktik penipuan dalam transaksi online dari perspektif hukum perlindungan konsumen. *Riau Law Journal*, 7(1), 1-24.

Wang, D., Peng, K., Tang, K., & Wu, Y. (2022). Does FinTech development enhance corporate ESG performance? Evidence from an emerging market. *Sustainability*, 14(24), 16597.

Yan, C., Siddik, A. B., Yong, L., Dong, Q., Zheng, G. W., & Rahman, M. N. (2022). A two-staged SEM-artificial neural network approach to analyze the impact of FinTech adoption on the sustainability performance of banking firms: the mediating effect of green finance and innovation. *Systems*, 10(5), 148..